| | SITE OVERVIEW: Amber – significant constraints | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Town | Paignton | |
| Site Name | Land R/O 24 Grange Road, Paignton | |
| HELAA Reference no. | 21P039 | |
| Approx. yield | 24 | |
| I d | DONO DO RE | |
| RO RO RO RANAWAS PA | 21P039 | |
| | COURTENAY | |
| Suitable | Yes | |
| Available | Yes – promoted by TDA | |
| Achievable | Yes | |
| Customer Reference no. | | |
| Source of Site (call for | TDA Sites | |
| sites, Local Plan allocation | | |
| etc.). | | |
| Current use | Scrub Land | |
| Site descriptions | Area of Scrub Land adjoining Roselands Road to the north. The remaining site boundaries are formed by Grange Road and Fairlawns Park. | |
| Total site area (ha) | 1 | |
| Developable site area (ha) | 0.8 | |
| SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT: STAGE A | | |
| Strategic potential: Housing? | No Yes | |
| Employment? | No | |
| Other Use? | Tourism (holiday Park), C2 | |
| Biodiversity- Within | Within Cirl bunting consultation zone – mitigation may be required | |
| SAC/SSSI | Within S Hams SAC GHB SZ and LCZ – mitigation may be required | |
| Flood Zone 3b | | |
| | No – abuts flood zone 3b and area prone to SW flooding adjacent to site. | |
| Other NPPF Showstoppers | 170. Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural | |
| (not policy constraints) | and local environment by: a) protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, sites of biodiversity or geological value and soils (in a manner commensurate with their | |
| | I DIOGIVE SILY OF SECTOSICAL VALUE AND SONS (III A MAINLEI COMMITCHSULALE WILL LITER | |
| | , e e | |
| Conclusion | statutory status or identified quality in the development plan) Sensitively designed development of part of the site could be suitable. | |

| SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT: STAGE B | |
|--|--|
| Access | Via Grange Road – public highway – unclassified. |
| Flood risk, water quality and drainage | N/A |
| Heritage and Archaeology | No significant heritage constraints. |
| (including distance from assets). | Archaeology: Former marsh. Palaeoenvironmental potential. Requires evaluation. |
| Infrastructure | Access would need to be created. |
| Landscape | ULPA Policy C5 Torbay (abuts LCA 3J Clennon Valley) |
| Ecology | Within Cirl bunting consultation zone – mitigation required |
| | Within S Hams SAC GHB LCZ – mitigation required |
| | Policy C5 - Clennon Hill/Roselands Valley Urban Landscape Protection Area. |
| Safety related constraints | N/A |
| Soils (Agricultural Land | N/A |
| classification) and | |
| contamination | |
| Local Plan | Policy C5 - Development within Urban Landscape Protection Areas (ULPAs), as |
| | shown on the Policies Map, will only be permitted where: |
| | 1. It does not undermine the value of the ULPA as an open or landscaped feature |
| | within the urban area; and |
| | 2. It makes a positive contribution to the urban environment and enhances the |
| | landscape character of the ULPA. |
| | Policy NC1, SS8 |
| Neighbourhood Plan | No specific policies which refer to this site |
| Development progress | No |
| (where relevant) | |
| Other | Part of site appears to be steep. |
| HELAA Panel Summary | Marketable site, recognized landscape and ecological issues but did not view these |
| | as insurmountable |
| Site potential | 24 |

| AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | |
|--|----|--|
| Reasonable prospect of delivery (timescale): | | |
| The next 5 years | | |
| A 6-10 year period | 24 | |
| An 11-15 year period | | |
| Later than 15 years | | |