| SITE OVERVIEW: Amber- Significant constraints. | |
|--|---|
| Town | Brixham |
| Site Name | Land off Follafield Park r/o Golden Close, Brixham |
| HELAA Reference no. | 21B029 |
| Approx. yield | 10 |
| | |
| Suitable | The site has significant constraints. Rejected in 2013 SHLAA (T722) County Wildlife Site and steep topography. Close to South Hams SAC. Archaeology: Medieval strip fields (same system as 21B020). Consider landscape character and retention of boundaries. However, the site is not within the AONB and possible scope for limited amount of development. |
| Available? | Promoted to the 2013 SHLAA. |
| Achievable | Steep site will limit viability. There could be access issues and the site may not not |
| | be achievable even if considered to be suitable. |
| Customer Reference no. | |
| Source of Site (call for | Legacy site (previously promoted) |
| sites, Local Plan allocation | |
| etc.). | |
| Current use | Agriculture |
| Site Description | |
| Total site area (ha) | 1.28 |
| Total developable area | Significantly less than the whole site. |
| (ha) | |
| | |

| Strategic potential: | |
|---|---|
| Housing? | Yes |
| Employment? | No |
| Other Use? | No |
| Biodiversity- Within SAC/SSSI | County Wildlife Site. Greater Horseshoe Bat Sustenance Zone. Trees and hedgerows on site are likely to have biodiversity value. |
| Flood Zone 3b | No |
| Other NPPF Showstoppers (not policy constraints) | No |
| Conclusion | Not suitable for large scale development. A small number of homes could possibly be built lower down the hill. Further investigation of impact on greater horseshoe bat flight paths would be needed and could rule the site out. |

| SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT: STAGE B | | |
|--|---|--|
| Access | Access is not easy. Either through Follafield Park (which would require demolition) or adjacent site (21B031). The surrounding road network is narrow. | |
| Flood risk, water quality and drainage | No | |
| Heritage and Archaeology (including distance from assets). | Assessment would be necessary: Medieval field system. | |
| Infrastructure | No significant issues (poor access noted above). | |
| Landscape | Not AONB but is on steeply sloping landscape within the former AGLV. | |
| Ecology | Could be significant. The site is bounded by trees and there are two belts of trees running through the site. CWS. Within Greater Horseshoe Bat Sustenance Zone. The existence of trees running across the site may preclude development: Further investigation would be needed before further progress can be made on the site. | |
| Safety related constraints | No | |
| Soils (Agricultural Land classification) and contamination | Agricultural land | |
| Local Plan | Countryside area and CWS | |
| Neighbourhood Plan | Outside built-up area. | |
| Development progress (where relevant) | No | |
| Other | | |
| HELAA Panel Summary | Sloping sites are less viable for affordable housing. | |
| Site potential | Significant constraints. | |

AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT

Reasonable prospect of delivery (timescale):

Relatively low number of dwellings are likely to be achievable due to the site's topography and trees on site.

| The next 5 years | |
|----------------------|----|
| A 6-10 year period | 10 |
| An 11-15 year period | |
| Later than 15 years | |