SITE OVERVIEW: Amber –	Significant Constraints				
Town	Brixham (Broadsands, Churston & Galmpton NP Area)				
Site Name	Site North of New Road/South of Wayside				
HELAA Reference no.	218017				
Approx. yield	20				
2180	NA SOM				
Suitable	Yes				
Available					
Achievable	Yes				
Customer Ref. no.					
Source of Site (call for	Knowledge Sites				
sites, Local Plan					
allocation etc.).					
Current use	Fields in use as private non-commercial equestrian				
Site descriptions	Two fields which slope downwards to the south. Located to the south of Wayside and				
-	to the north of New Road.				
Total site area (ha)	2.37				
Gross site area (ha)	1.4 is 60% possibly more like 1 due to need for landscape mitigation and other				
(approximate	constraints				
developable area)					
SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT	: STAGE A				
Strategic potential:	Yes				
Housing?	Yes				
Employment?	No due to visual prominence and location within AONB.				
Other Use?	p				
Biodiversity- Within SAC/SSSI	Within South Hams SAC GHB LCZ and SZ – development could result in loss/disturbance to commuting (possibly foraging) habitat within the sustenance zone and would require mitigation/compensation. Current use as equestrian grazing results in habitat of lower value for GHBs Within Cirl Bunting Consultation Zone – mitigation likely required.				
	Site is a LNR - Rydons				
Flood Zone 3b	No				

Other NPPF	Para 172. Great weight should be given to conserving and enhancing landscape and					
Showstoppers (not policy	scenic beauty in National Parks, the Broads and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty.					
constraints)	Major development in the AONB should only be approved in exceptional					
	circumstances and subject to the tests in para 172 of the NPPF.					
	170. Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by: a) protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, sites of biodiversity or geological value and soils (in a manner commensurate with their statutory status or identified quality in the development plan)					
	206. Local planning authorities should not normally permit other development proposals in Mineral Safeguarding Areas if it might constrain potential future use for mineral working.					
Conclusion	Landscape led development of a part of the site <i>could</i> be suitable due to the significant constraints. Mitigation/compensation required; Not clear whether this could be achieved without harming the SAC. Not clear if NPPF para 172 tests would be met.					

A	Via Wassida - Unalessifiad sand
Access	Via Wayside - Unclassified road
et and det and a second of	Possibly via Rydons – Unclassified road
Flood risk, water quality and drainage	No
Heritage and Archaeology	No significant heritage constraints.
(including distance from	
assets).	Archaeology: Medieval field system. Prehistoric potential. May require programme
	of archaeological mitigation.
Infrastructure	Access, drainage, sewage.
	Capacity of windy corner junction.
Landscape	Within South Devon AONB.
	Countryside area - LCA - Area of Local Character: 1P Rolling Farmland
	South Galmpton and Lupton.
	Overall rated Highly Sensitive in LCA. LCA Management Strategy: Conserve &
	Enhance.
Ecology	Within South Hams SAC GHB LCZ and SZ – development could result in
	loss/disturbance to commuting (possibly foraging) habitat within the sustenance
	zone and would require mitigation.
	Within Cirl Bunting Consultation Zone – mitigation likely required.
	Site is a LNR - Roydons
Safety related constraints	No
Soils (Agricultural Land	3a and 3b
classification) and	
contamination	
Local Plan	NC1, ER1, C1, SS8 - (development in AONB only permitted in exceptional
	circumstances where a significant public benefit can be demonstrated.) SS2 - outside
	built up area or Future Growth Area.

	SDB3 Brixham Urban Fringe and AONB.
Neighbourhood Plan	Policy E1 -Landscape Beauty and Protected Areas. Policy E2 - Outside of settlement boundary - Development outside settlement boundaries will need to meet the criteria in Torbay Local Plan Policy C1. E6 Views and Vistas.
	E7 Protecting landscape features.
Development progress (where relevant)	No
Other	
HELAA Panel Summary	Supported- could be seen as rounding off the built up area
Site potential	20

AVAILABILITY ASSESSM	ENT		
Reasonable prospect of	delivery (timescale):		
The next 5 years			
A 6-10 year period	20		
An 11-15 year period			
Later than 15 years			