

Conclusion	Would have a significant landscape impact, and potential impact on cirl buntings.
	However, the site does not have national designations.

SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT: STAGE B	
Access	Access is likely to be from phase 1 of the development (21P015). Would mean that
	any development would be longer term, and potential ransom issues with the
	developer of the lower area.
Flood risk, water quality	Elevates site, but there are significant downstream flooding and drainage issues at
and drainage	Collaton St Mary.
Heritage and	On-site investigations would be needed.
Archaeology (including	
distance from assets).	Due to elevation of the land there may be an impact on the Grade 2* St Mary's Church.
	Some archaeological potential. Requires assessment and evaluation to inform design and archaeological mitigation.
Infrastructure	Would need to be served from development on currently allocated Futue Growth
	Area. Drainage and road infrastructure would need careful planning.
	Potential ransom issues could reduce viability.
Landscape	Would be significant. Landscape Area of Local Character 1L and 1M Rolling Farmland
	- former AGLV, rated "highly sensitive" in the 2010 Landscape Character Assessment.
	Development would be in parts of the Collaton St Mary area ruled out byt the
	Masterplan due to their sensitivity.
Ecology	Cirl bunting s and GHB sustenance zone. Cumulative impact not clear taking into
	account existing proposals in the area.
Safety related	No
constraints	
Soils (Agricultural Land	Agricultural land
classification) and	
contamination	Future Crowth area 552 (Countricide Area in CCNA Maternan)
Local Plan	Future Growth area SS2 (Countryside Area in CSM Matsrpan)
Neighbourhood Plan	PNP : 'white land' urban area small area PNP1(a) and PNP19 Rural Character Area. PNP24.
Development progress	None. The land to the north (SS2.2 FGA) has been sold to a housebuilder with an
(where relevant)	application pending).
Other	
HELAA Panel Summary	Supportive of greenfield development but note visual prominence of going further up
	the slope than existing allocations/masterplans.
Site potential	50 would represent a lower density development which minimised landscape impact
	to upper slopes. Only achievable in the longer term.

AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT

Reasonable prospect of delivery (timescale):

	-
The next 5 years	
A 6-10 year period	
An 11-15 year period	
Later than 15 years	50