

REVIEW OF THE PLANNED CLOSURE OF RN IB MANOR HOUSE

Report 0 SB/9/04 to the Executive $13 \, \text{th}$ July 2004

Report to the Overview and Scrutiny Board 14th July 2004



Forew	ord	1
1.	Executive Sum m ary	2
2.	Introduction	 3
3.	Process	4
4.	Key Findings	 5
5.	C onc lisions	 9
6.	Recom m endations	10
7.	Monitoring Amangements	11
Ackno	ow edgem ents	12
Appe	ndix 1 (Im plications of mecom mendations)	 13
Appe	ndix 2 (ProjectPlan)	14
Appe	ndix 3 (List of key documents)	. 17

Published by Composate Governance Directorate, Torbay Council Paul Lucas, Executive Director

Furthercopies of this report can be obtained from:

Mark Hammett, Overview and Scrutiny Officer 01803 207063 mark hammett@torbay.gov

Foreword

This Review Panelwas established to investigate the issue of the planned closure by the Royal National Institute of the Blind (RNIB) of the specialist employment and social rehabilitation centre based at Manor House in Torquay.

The Panel considered the reasons for the cbsure, the manner in which the consultation process was conducted and the impact that the cbsure would have not only on the visually impaired community, but also on Torbay as a whole.

Throughout the process the panelinvited input from a number of interested parties ranging from seniorm anagement at the RNB to The Friends of Manor House and South Devon College.

It is hoped that this report will help in some way to preserve at least some element of the service that has been provided by Manor House over the last 60 years.

Finally can Ialso express the thanks of the Panel to Shenagh Marley and David Naim who acted as Co-opted Members on our Review Panel, and who gave us the benefit of their considerable expertise in this area.

CouncilorJennings Chaim an of the RNBM anorHouse Review Panel

- 1. Executive Sum mary
- 11 The RNB Manor House Review Panel considered the reasons for the closure of Manor House and the impact the closure will have on the bcalcommunity.
- 12 It exam ned the rationale behind the closure and investigated the manner in which the consultation process was conducted. The Panel also wenton to assess the in pactof the closure on the visually in paired community as well as on the will ercommunity of Torbay.
- 13 The Panelm etw ith a num berof parties involved in the closure and this enabled the bcalcommunity and key stakeholders to put forward their view sabout the closure.

It is recommended to the Executive:

- 1.4 That Torbay Council work actively with any future provider of a specialist visually in paired service within Torbay, and that the Council should seek to work with other partners to help to provide this service in the future.
- 1.5 That the Government be pressed to explore the current lack of provision for rehabilitation for those people who have suddenly but their sight in the United Kingdom.
- 1.6 That the RNB be pressed to explain why they have failed so far to implement their own recommendations from the South West Review of 2003 to set up between two and six partnership projects in the South West region.
- 1.7 That the Council should make representations to the Charity Commission over the conduct of the RNB in regard to the closure of Manor House, due to the high levels of public dismay at the shutting down of the centre.

It is recommended to the Overview and Scrutiny Board:

- 1.8 That the Review PanelMem bers receive a monthly written update from the lead officer at Social Services on the progress made in regard to the provision of an alternative specialist visually in paired service within Torbay.
- 19 That the Review Panel reconvene as and when it is felt necessary to consider any developments in regard to the closure of Manor House and to consider the alternative proposals to setup a special stvisually in paired service within Torbay.
- 2. Introduction

- 2.1 RNBM anorHouse is a specialistem plymentand social mehabilitation centre for adults who have bet their sightor whose sight has deteriorated. The aim of the centre is to give people the skills and confidence they need to cope independently at home, work and outdoors.
- ManorHouse and the RNB have been providing rehabilitation for the blind since 1941 but earlier this year the RNB announced that it was cosing the centre by the 31st July 2004. An alternative employment rehabilitation and further education service for the South West is opening in Septem ber 2004 under a partner ship between the RNB and Somer set College of Arts and Technology based in Taunton.
- Mem bersofthe Councilwere invited to a meeting held at Manor House by the Board of Governors on the 22nd May 2004 where the cbsure of the centre was boked at in more detail. As a result of this meeting the Review Panelwassetup by the Overview and Scrutiny Board to investigate the cbsure.
- 2.4 The key objectives of the Review were:
 - (i) To investigate the measons for the proposed closure of RNB Manor House.
 - (ii) To assess the impact of the cbsure of Manor House on the bcal community and the visually in paired community.
 - (iii) To a seess the impact of the cbsure of M anor House on the bcal economy.
- 25 The Project Plan, which sets out the full details of the review including the methodology which was employed, is attached as Appendix 2.
- 2.6 The membership of the Panelcom prized of Councillors Burridge, Cope, Darling, Hytche, Jennings and Tumbull. Councillor Jennings chaired the meetings of the Review Panel. The Panelako had two Co-opted Members throughout the review, these being Shenagh Marley and David Naim from the Board of Governors of Manor House.

3. Process

- 3.1 The Panelm et form ally on seven occasions to consider evidence and to work through its Project Plan.
- 32 Evidence for the Review Panel's work was collected from the following presentations and discussions with:

JilRead, Manageress of RNBManor House

Neville Lawson, Assistant Director of Education and Employment of the Royal National Institute of the Blind (RNB)

Shenagh Marley, Board of Governors RNB Manor House

Christine Tibbets, Community Fund Raising Manager, RNB

Mara Doyle, South Devon College

The FriendsofM anorHouse

- A written submission was also received by the Panel from Torbay Council's Social Services Directorate on the impact of the closure on the services provided by the Council to the visually impaired.
- 3.4 The Panelako watched the video "See the difference" which explained the work of Manor House.
- 3.5 The list of key documents (including background papers, reports and briefing notes) which were considered by the Panel is attached as Appendix 3.

4. Key Findings

Reasons for the cbsure of RNBM anor House

- 4.1 The measons given to the Panelforthe cbsume of RNBM anor House were:
 - The RNB's stategy in recent years to move away from residential provision towards a policy of more Social Inclusion in its institutions. There is also a movement by the RNB to provide botal services across the country, rather than a one-centre approach like Manor House.
 - The Government's strategy in recent years to move away from bngterm residential training.
 - The decline in referrals to Manor House since the 1990's by Job Centre Plus Disability Employment Advisers.
 - The fact that the RNB is currently undergoing financial problems due to the fact that in recent years they have received less donations and legacies. This has led the charity into making savings for the future.
 - The view made by the RNB that the facilities offered by Som erset College of Arts and Technology were superior to those offered by South Devon College.
 - That the RNB did not consider it to be appropriate to use RNB funds to maintain the subsidy to Manor House as the Somerset College of Arts and Technology option would be self funding by the second year of operation.
 - That the RNB could be accused of not promoting ormarketing Manor House enough in recent years and that this had bed to a decline in client referrals.
 - The contracts between Manor House and Job Centre Plusmay have contributed to the decline in referrals and thus the closure. This was due to the fact that the new contracts stipulated that all clients now had to have a single room instead of sharing a dorm itory. This led to the centre having less capacity to dealwith clients in the future.

- The comments made to the Panelabout the quality of the consultation process by the RNB in relation to the closure of Manor House were:
 - That although there was a consultation process with the staff of Manor House by the RNB, it was felt by many to be too brief. It was also regrettable that the staff at Manor House learn tabout the closure from the media before being informed by the RNB.
 - That the RNB had not been very proactive in its consultation with other key stakeholders like Torbay Council.
 - That the RNB's consultation process with South Devon College had been weak and that the College had received no form alwritten feedback from the RNB on their decision to move their services to Somerset College of Arts and Technology. The Panelako felt that the move to Somerset College of Arts and Technology had been pre-determined by the RNB.
 - That the RNB had not considered the view softhe visually in paired living in Comwallwhen Lundertook the decision to rebeate to Somerset College of Arts and Technology.
 - That the ex-clients of Manor House feltignored by the RNB throughout the consultation process.

In pactofthe cbsure on the bcalcom munity

- 4.3 The comments made to the Panelabout the impact of the cbsure of Manor House on the bcalcommunity were:
 - That M anor House currently employs 32 m embers of staffas well as volunteers. These 32 m embers of staffwill albe made redundant when M anor House closes in July 2004 without the opportunity of redeployment.
 - That Manor House currently supported visually in paired students at South Devon College, but that with closure, this service would be but to the College and the bcalcom munity. It was explained that Manor House provided the staff of South Devon College with visual awareness training, and that this service would be but in the future after the closure of Manor House.
 - That the cbsure of Manor House would have an impacton the provision of services by the Council's Social Services Directorate as with the cbsure of Manor House the Directorate would no bright able to contract out mobility and orientation training to Manor

House. This will therefore lead to an increase in waiting times for this service or even no provision of the service at all.

• The Social Services Directorate had serous concerns about the Low Vision Clinic, which is to be rebcated to Totnes. It feared that there may be a drop in the take up of this vital service boally as the majority of patients are over 65 and would find travelling to Totnes difficult. There was also concern over the future of the Annual Low Vision Service for Torbay children after the closure of Manor House in July 2004.

In pactof the cbsure on the visually in paired community

- 4.4 The comments made to the Panelabout the impact of the cbsure of Manor House on the visually in paired community were:
 - That M anor House offered much more than just education and emplyment, it also gave visually in paired people the skills and confidence needed for the future.
 - That the extra services offered by Manor House in providing life skills and rebuilding confidence for those who have just be their sight, which is above and beyond its remit of education and employment, would be betto the visually in paired across the country when Manor House closes in July 2004. This is because Manor House is almost unique in providing this type of rehabilitation in the United Kingdom to the visually in paired.
 - That visually in paired people would bee the cultural awareness that has developed in Torbay over the last 60 years with the obsure of Manor House when the relocation of some services moves to Somerset College of Arts and Technology.
 - That Torbay would be being staffwho have considerable expertise with the visually in paired.
 - That the cbsure of Manor House would also see the bssofvaluable services to the visually in paired like the Resource Centre and the Low Vision Clinic, which would be rebeating to Totnes.
 - That M anor House had provided dignity for visually in paired people and that with the closure this sense of dignity would be lost in the future.

In pactofthe cbsure on the bcaleconomy

- The comments made to the Panelabout the impact of the cosume of Manor House on the bcale conomy were:
 - That the cbsure of Manor House would hit the Torbay economy hard in term soft basof revenue for bcalshops and businesses, and would create 32 redundancies in an already overcrowded job market.

O therissues raised by the Review

- 4.6 There were many other issues raised throughout the Review Panel's investigation that have a bearing on the scope of this review. They are outlined below:
 - That Staffat M anor House and other interested parties including the Board of G overnors of M anor House were in the process of investigating the possibility of providing some smallers envire for the visually in paired in Torbay after the closure of M anor House in July 2004. It was hoped that a meeting due to take place on the 14th June 2004 at M anor House between the Chief Executive of the RNB and other interested parties may clarify this issue further.
 - That a num berofinterested parties were currently in the process of contacting the Charities Comm ission to investigate the conduct of the RNB over the cbsure of Manor House. They are boking to report the RNB to the Comm ission over the issue of Ham. In effect this means: causing serious detriment to the people or causes the charity serves, the bosorm is use of significant assets or resources and serious damage to the reputation of the charity generally.
 - That many bcalpeople feltupset and concerned about what was going to happen to money raised bcally for Manor House after its cbsure in July 2004.
 - That there was some concern from the National Lottery overwhat will happen to the proceeds from the sale of Manor House by the RNB, as the National Lottery contributed money to the building of Hewit Lodge, an accommodation blockat Manor House.
 - That the sale of the site and property of Manor House by the RNB would be difficult to complete quickly because of the planning permission process.

5. Conclusions

- The Panel deployed the decision by the RNB to close RNB Manor House and feels that the consultation process undertaken by the RNB was weak and poorly organised. It also feels that the consultation process had ignored the views of many key stakeholders and other interested parties.
- The Panelako identified that the cbsure of Manor House would have a significant in pacton the bcaleconomy in term softhe bssofrevenue to bcalbusinesses and the bssof32 jbbsw ithin Torbay.
- 53 The Panelako considered that the closure would be felt most severely by the visually in paired community within Torbay, as they would be the vitalservices provided by Manor House. These vitalservices include the bas of the Resource Centre as well as the relocation of the Low Vision Clinic to Totnes.
- However the Panel felt that the most damaging aspect of the closure was the fact that in the future the confidence and life skills given to a recently visually impaired person by Manor House through its rehabilitation programme would be lost forever to the wider community of the United Kingdom.
- 5.5 Finally the Panel concluded that the obsure of Manor House would mean the bssofspecialist staff, and that the visually in paired had bst a centre in an area where there was a high degree of cultural awareness for the visually in paired.

- 6. Recommendations
 - It is recomm ended to the Executive:
- 6.1 That Torbay Council work actively with any future provider of a specialist visually in paired service within Torbay, and that the Council should seek to work with other partners to help to provide this service in the future.
- 62 That the Government be pressed to explore the current lack of provision for rehabilitation for those people who have suddenly but their sight in the United Kingdom.
- 63 That the RNB be pressed to explain why they have failed so far to implement their own recommendations from the South West Review of 2003 to setup between two and six partnership projects in the South West region.
- 6.4 That the Council should make representations to the Charity Commission over the conduct of the RNB in regard to the closure of Manor House, due to the high levels of public dismay at the shutting down of the centre.
 - It is recomm ended to the O verview and Scrutiny Board:
- 6.5 That the Review PanelMem bers receive a monthly written update from the lead officer at Social Services on the progress made in regard to the provision of an alternative specialist visually in paired service within Torbay.
- 6.6 That the Review Panel reconvene as and when it is felt necessary to consider any developments in regard to the closure of Manor House and to consider the alternative proposals to setup a specialist visually in paired service within Torbay.

- 7. Monitoring Amangements
- 7.1 The Panelwishes to monitor the progress made on the proposals to set up an alternative specialist visually in paired service within Torbay. Therefore the Panelwishes to have a written update given to them on a monthly basis on the progress made in setting up an alternative specialist visually in paired Service within Torbay.
- 72 The Panelako feek that the Review Panelshould reconvene as and when it is felt necessary to consider any developments in regard to the closure of Manor House and to consider the alternative proposals to set up a specialist visually in paired service within Torbay.

Acknow edgements

The members of the Review Panelwould like to thank all the people and organisations who contributed towards the work of this review particularly:

JilRead and althe StaffatRNBM anorHouse

Neville Law son, Assistant Director of Education and Employment, RNB

 ${\tt David} \ {\tt Naim} \ {\tt and} \ {\tt Shenagh} \ {\tt M} \ {\tt arey} \ {\tt of the} \ {\tt M} \ {\tt anor House} \ {\tt Board} \ {\tt of G} \ {\tt overnors}$

Christine Tibbets, Community Fund Raising Manager, RNB

Maria Doyle, South Devon College

Peggy Evansand The FriendsofM anorHouse

GillRoberts, Rehabilitation Officer, Sensory Team, Social Services

Appendix 1

In plications of the Recomm endations

Legal Under The National Health Service and Community

Care Act1990, where a person appears to need community care services, the authority shall carry out an assessment of need, and dependent upon the results of that assessment, provide a service. With the bss of Manor House, alternative services to meet the needs of the visually in paired community will need to

be sought to meet a see seed needs.

Financial There are no financial in plications however Social

Services does assist people with sensory bas and although wishing to support clients, the Directorate would not like to see a large increase in expenditure

as a result of the RNB withdrawing from Torbay.

Hum an Resources None

Property None

Change MgtPan None

The recommendations contained within this Reportane in accordance with the Council's Budget and Policy Framework

The recom m endations contained within this Report would not be a Key Decision

Appendix 2

Review of the planned closure of RNBM anor House, Torquay

DraftProjectPlan

Objective of the Review

To investigate the proposed closure of RNBM anor House, Torquay.

Introduction

The RNB isproposing to cbse down RNBM anorHouse by the 31stJuly 2004. ManorHouse is a specialistem playment and social mehabilitation centre for adults who have bet the insightor whose sight has deteriorated. The aim of the centre is to give people the skills and confidence they need to cope independently at home, work and outdoors.

Scope of the Review

- 1. To investigate the measons for the proposed closum of RNBM anor House, Torquay.
- 2. To assess the in pactof the cbsure of Manor House, Torquay on the bcal community and the visually in paired community.
- 3. To assess the in pactof the cbsure of Manor House, Torquay on the bcal economy.

Service Background

The RoyalNationalInstitute for the Blind is a charity that offers in form ation, support and advice to over two million people with sight problems.

Review Panel

CouncilorGordon Jennings (Lead Member)
CouncilorSteve Darling
CouncilorWendy Burridge
CouncilorJean Cope
CouncilorJean Tumbull
CouncilorMichaelHytche
David Naim Co-opted Member
Shenagh Marley Co-opted Member

Tim etable for Review and Methodology

Date	Tim e	Venue	Council/Stakeholder/Com		Key Tasks
28th May 2004	10 am	Town Hal	m unity representatives O verview and Scrutiny Panel	•	To agree the objective and scope of the Project Plan for the review.
				•	To agree the key tasks to be undertaken by the Panelin completing its Review.
28th May 2004	10:30 am	Town Hall	J:IRead, manageress of RNB Manor House, Torquay.	•	To receive a briefing about the reasons for the proposed claure of Manor House, Torquay, and an explanation of the in pact this claure will have on the bcalcommunity.
1st June 2004	130 pm	Town Hall	Neville Law son, Assistant Director, Education and Employment, RNB	•	To receive a briefing about the reasons for the proposed closure of Manor House, Torquay.
4th June 2004	10.00 am	Town Hall	Shenagh Marley, Board of Governors, RN B Manor House Christine Tibbets, Community Fund Raising ManagerRN B	•	To consider information from the bcalcommunity and key stakeholders about the irviews and opinions on the proposed clause of Manor House, Torquay.
4th June 2004	10.30 am	Town Hall	Mara Doyle, South Devon College FriendsofManorHouse	•	To consider information from the bcalcommunity and key stakeholders about the irviews and opinions on the proposed closure of Manor House, Torquay.
28th	11.00	Town	Overview and Scrutiny	•	To consider if there are any

June 2004	am	HaI	Pane:		further issues in relation to the closure of Manor
					House, Torquay that have not been addressed by the
					Review Panel
				•	To determ ine the
					conclusions of the Review.
				•	To determ ine the
					recom m endationsofthe
					Review Panel.
				•	To finalise the report of the
					Review Panel.

The final report of the Review Panelwillbe presented to the O verwiew and Scrutiny Board at its meeting to be held on the 14th July 2004

Appendix3

- RNBM anorHouse SkillsDevelopm entCentre Torquay Prospectus 2003
- MinutesofHouse ofCommonsAdjournmentDebate 28th April 2004
- New Beacon Magazine June 2004
- Complaints about Charities book let published by the Charity Commission in May 2003