

REVENUE BUDG ET 2004/2005

Report 0 SB/2/04 to the Executive
February 2004

Adopted by the Overview and Scrutiny Board on 2nd February 2004



C O N TEN TS

1.	Executive Sum mary	1
		••••
2.	Process	2
3.	Background	4
4.	Key Findings and Conclusions	6
5.	Recom m endations	 25
Ackno	ow edgem ents	 26
Appe	ndix 1 (In plications)	 27
Appe	ndix 2 (StakeholderOnganisations)	 28
Appe	ndix 3 (GrantNotifications and Applications)	 29
Appe	andix 4 (Additional Information Supplied in response to Ouestions)	31

Published by Comporate Governance Directorate, Torbay Council Paul Lucas, Executive Director

Furthercopies of this report can be obtained from:

- 1. Executive Sum mary
- Aspart of the budget setting process for the 2004/2005 financial year, the Overview and Scrutiny Board held a number of meetings to which the Executive Members and Directors were invited to outline the implications for their services of the Targets which had been set. A wide range of stakeholder representatives were also invited to attend these meetings and to give their views on the proposals.
- 12 The Board have considered the issues raised during these meetings with particular attention to the level of risk associated with each proposal. Its view sand conclusions are set out within this Report.
- 13 It is recommended that the Executive consider the information which has been presented to the Overview and Scrutiny Board over the series of meetings involving the Executive Members, Directors and stakeholders and that it takes account of the conclusions reached by the Board in formulating its recommendations to the Council.

2. Process

- The budget setting process for 2004/2005 was agreed in Novem ber 2003. As in previous years, there was a need to prioritise demand upon the budgethaving regard to the Council's Strategic Plan Transforming Torbay and Change Management Implementation Plan together with the emerging Community Plan.
- 23 To enable the Council's partners to have an input into the emerging budget for 2004/2005 it was decided that two consultation meetings would be held. The first of these events washeld on 11th December 2003 when all Members of the Council and stakeholders were invited to hear details of the provisional Local Government Finance Settlement for the forthcoming financial year and the implications the settlement would have for Torbay Council. The organisations who were invited to take part in the process are set out in Appendix 2 to this Report.
- 2.4 Stakeholders were also invited to attend meetings of the O verview and Scrutiny Board held on 20th, 21st and 28th January. At these meetings, each Executive Mem berand Directorwas invited to make a presentation on the implications of the budget targets which had been set by the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Leader of the Council and the Executive Member for Finance. There was an opportunity for stakeholders to ask questions and to make statements on the proposals put forward at these meetings.
- 2.5 Full details of the implications of the target budgets were set out in the following reports which were circulated to all Members of the Council and all invited stakeholders:

Environm entServices	JointReportF/1/04 & Env/1/04
Torbay Developm entAgency	JointReportF/2/04 & TDA/1/04
CulturalServices	JointReportF/3/04 & LC S/1/04
SocialServices	JointReportF/4/04 & SS/1/04
Education	JointReportF/5/04 & LC S/2/04
Housing Services	JointReportF/6/04 & SS/2/04
Componate Governance and	
Managing Director's Unit	JointReportF/7/04 & CG/1/04
Finance	ReportF/8/04
Hum an Resources	JointReportF/9/04 & HR/1/04
Assistant Managing Director's Service	.JointReportF/10/04 & M D/1/04
Additional information supplied at A	ppendix 4 in response to Questions
naised.	

2.6 This Report forms the Overview and Scrutiny Board's response to the Executive on the implications of the 2004/2005 target budgets. The Revenue Budget for 2004/2005 will be set by the Councilatism eeting

scheduled to take place on 26th February 2004. The second consultation meeting forstakeholderwill take place on 23rd February.

- 3. Background
- At the meeting held on 18th December 2003, the Council considered the implications of the provisional Local Government Finance Settlement. It was agreed that the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Leader of the Council and the Executive Member for Finance, should set provisional targets for each Directorate. In setting the targets it was anticipated that service pressures would be prioritised in the context of the Council's Strategic Plan Transforming Torbay and the emerging Community Plan. The targets were:

	Target1	Target2	Target3
	£m	£m	£m
Environm entServices	17.000	17.850	16.150
Torbay Developm entAgency	1350	1.420	1.280
CulturalServices	3. 4 70	3.640	3.300
SocialServices	35.160	36.920	33.400
Education			
Central	6.141	6.341	5.701
Schools	55.459	58.339	55.459
Housing	1.420	1.490	1.350
Corporate Governance and Managing Director	2,980	3.130	2.830
Hum an Resources	1.560	1.640	1.480
Assistant Managing Director's Services	2340	2.460	2,220
Finance	13.370	14.040	12.700

- 32 The targets took account of commitments arising from restructuring to in prove the corporate capacity of the Council. They also reflected the Council's priorities with particular regard to the funding level for Education and Housing both of which at Target 1 were allocated a significant level of additional resources.
- Each Executive M em berand Directorwas then asked to prepare a report that set out any:
 - in plications of spending at the three targets;
 - existing services that would be curtailed orceased in the package;
 - new services to be included;
 - new services or developments which would not be able to be included in the packages;
 - prioritisation of existing services overnew services and vice versa.
- 3.4 In making presentations to the Board, the Executive Member and Director highlighted the keypoints within each report.

As part of the Board's consideration of the Annual Audit Letter, PricewaterhouseCoopers had emphasised the importance of setting out the assumptions underlying the budget setting process together with the associated risks. This principle was borne in mind by the Board when considering the proposals from each Executive Member and Director.

4. Key Findings

Environm entServices

- 4.1 In their presentation to the Overview and Scrutiny Board, the Executive Member and Director for Environment Services set out the key pressures facing the Directorate which resulted from:
 - Public expectation
 - Legislative requirem ents
 - National and bcalpolicy
 - Majorprojects
- 42 The Directorwent on to detail the savings and increased income which had been identified to meet Target 1 together with the additions to existing service provision which could met from that Target. However, a number of initiatives would not be able to be implemented if the budget for the service was set at this level.
- It was noted that many of the services provided by the Directorate were discretionary although some of the costs included within Target 1 resulted from legislative requirements. The two main examples of this were the increase in the level of Landfill Tax and the work which was required in order for the Council to comply with the Control of Asbestos at Work Regulations 2002.
- 4.4 The initial estimates for the surveys and work associated with compliance with the Asbestos Regulations were in the order of £250,000. Approximately half of this estimate was related to work in the schools around the Borough and would need to be met from the Schools Block of the Learning and Cultural Services Budget. This was acknowledged by the representatives of schools when they responded to the proposals. At Target 1, £80,000 was allocated within the Environment Services budget to commence works on non-school buildings.
- At Target 1 it was proposed that the twin bin waste collection service would be expanded to a further 4000 properties together with the introduction fortnightly collections of paper and card for recycling for those areas not covered by the twin bin system. It was not thought that the establishment of these initiatives would lead to a reduction in the amount of Landfill Tax payable by the Authority. However the Council would need to continue to increase its collection of recyclable waste to meet Government targets.
- 4.6 Preparation for the introduction of decrim in a lised parking enforcement was included within the additions to service provision at Target 1. It was noted that this cost did not reflect the full year costs of such a scheme. However the amount of income from car parking was forecast to increase and this income was likely to meet the additional costs of the scheme.

- 4.7 From the initial work undertaken by the Crime and Disorder Review Panel it was clear that the Street Warden Scheme in Hele, Torquay was a success. Whilst the funding for the Scheme at Hele was provided by the Home Office and had been renewed for a further year, after this period consideration would need to be given to its continuation. At Target 1 it would not be possible for the scheme to be expanded to other areas.
- 4.8 A læmative methods of providing a Street Warden Scheme within existing resources would be considered. This could include the use of park wardens and those additional staff which would be employed through the implementation of decriminalized parking. There would also need to discussions with the Police about the possibilities of pintworking.
- 49 The Director indicated that spending at Target 1 would enable the Council to address the initial stages of many of the issues within the Strategic Plan and emerging Community Plan. However, some in provements which would meet the aspirations within the Plans would not be able to be in plemented. He quoted environmental in provements as an example of this. Any reduction in the Environment Services budget below Target 1 would mean that the Strategic Plan would need to be reducted.
- 410 The Director stated that the following issues from the service variations which could be implemented if Target 2 was agreed would be the priority:
 - Reinstatement of inflation allocation and partial funding of demographic growth
 - Torbay Retail Capacity Study
 - StreetWarden Scheme Extension
 - Reinstatement of 2003/2004 major reductions (horticulture and cleansing)
- 4.11 The Directorwas asked what the impact on the community would be if the Environment Services budget was set at a true standstill position. He reported that the Directorate would only be able to provide the same services as in the current year but, taking account of inflation, there would be serous problems in the ability to deliver these services.
- John Coysh, a representative of Brixham 21, gave details to the Board on the concerns of the public which had been identified through a survey carried out by the organisation. He reported that the fourmain issues of concern were:
 - dog fouling
 - litter
 - to ilets
 - lighting

He suggested that by prosecuting those responsible for the anti-social behaviour identified, and by publicising successful prosecutions, the am enity of the Borough could be in proved. He also suggested that a charge should be made for using public to lets which could offset the cost of employing to let attendants who would be responsible for ensuring a high level of clean liness.

4.13 In responding to MrCoysh, the Executive Memberhighlighted that, if the Street Warden Scheme was expanded through using a wilerrange of Councilofficers in the role and increasing the powers of the Wardens, some of these concerns could be addressed.

Torbay Developm entAgency

- 414 The Leader of the Counciland the Director of Strategic Sewices reported that Torbay Development Agency became operational on 1st January 2004. The services of the Agency included Tourism (including External Marketing and Illuminations) and Regeneration (including Economic Development and Industrial Units). The Agency also managed the Council's three harbours which operated within "ring-fenced accounts". The work of the Marine Services Division was seen as crucial to the tourism and regeneration efforts of the Agency.
- 4.15 The Chief Executive of the Agency would consult its Board on the policy and strategic direction of the Agency. However, the annual revenue contribution to the Agency would be set by the Council for 2004/2005 and therefore an initial budget allocation between the services would also be set.
- 4.16 At Target 1, the Agency's budget would be slightly bwerthan the base budgets for the those services in the previous structure. However, at Target 2 a number of other pressures could be addressed including the repairand maintenance of the illuminations and the reinstatement of the Economic Regeneration budget.
- 4.17 Heally, over the next five years, a significant sum would need to be spent on the illuminations around Torbay. This would include the replacement of some of the lighting infrastructure. Currently the illuminations at Rock Walk, Torquay were not lit as a result of vandalism in the area. Members asked if the monies which had been received by the Council as a result of Section 106 Agreements attached to developments in Warren Road could be used to help fund this work. Confirmation would need to be sought on whether the monies could be used for this purpose but it was reported that the Board of Torbay Development Agency would we know e this source of funding.
- 4.18 At Target 1, the development of certain activities within the current Strategic Plan would be curtailed. However, the Plan would need to be re-drafted to take account of the policies that the Board of the Agency would want to pursue over the coming year.

- 4.19 Given that the Community Plan had been developed following a wide ranging consultation exercise with the members of the Board as key stakeholders, it was expected that the action plan for its delivery would complement the work to be undertaken by Torbay Development Agency. Therefore it was expected that the Council's obligations within the Plan could be metifithe budgetwas setat Target 1.
- 420 Barry Cole, a member of the Torbay Development Agency Board, requested that the budget for the Agency should not be cut severely as this would have an impacton the results which could be achieved by the Board in its first two years of existence.
- 421 John Coysh reported that Brixham 21 welcomed the Council's contribution to the economic regeneration of Torbay. He stated that the Agency needed a budget set at Target 2 as this would enable the benefits of regeneration (such as new jobs, more grant funding and enhanced community facilities) to be spread throughout the Borough.

CulturalServices

- 422 The Executive Member for Learning and Cultural Services and Assistant Director gave details of the measures, including improvements to services, which would be put in place to meet Target 1. The Assistant Director gave details of the key item swhich could not be addressed at this Target.
- It was reported that a number of budget pressures had a heady been agreed by the Council as part of the Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA) for Library Services. The aim of the target within the LPSA was to encourage use of the library service especially by youngerpeople. This proposal required an increase in resources of £150,000 which would enable the bookstock to be increased. The Targets which had been set did not enable this increase to be accommodated given the other pressures which the Cultural Services Division faced. It was suggested that this cost should be met from another source within the Authority.
- 424 If a standstill budget was set there would be no change in the Council's current position with regard to the National Library Standards. However, even if Target 2 was agreed it was not proposed to increase the library bookstock or extend opening hours although there would be the ability to sustain the People's Network.
- 425 The Assistant Director reported that, at Target 1, the actions contained within the emerging Community Plan were achievable although it was noted that the implications of the Cultural Strategy were not known at this stage.
- 426 In terms of the Strategic Plan, it was suggested that the targets were challenging but not impossible to achieve. If the budget was reduced, there may be difficulties in meeting the targets in relation to the marketing of leisure and amenity facilities. The Review of Access to the

- Coast around Torbay had highlighted that the marketing of individual facilities needed to be developed further.
- 427 The Assistant Director reported that the three priority issues she would like to address from those items which were not currently included within Target 1 were increases in the:
 - Resources fund for libraries
 - Grant to Torquay and Brixham Museum s
 - Library opening times
- 428 Roger Mann of Torbay Sports Council reported that, for the sports community, the priority was the basic maintenance of sporting facilities including changing rooms. He requested that the facilities should be guaranteed at the current standard rather than for them to deteriorate.
- 429 The Director of Environment Services confirmed that it was proposed to continue with the existing level of service in relation to grounds maintenance, including the maintenance of changing rooms. However, the Assistant Director (Cultural Services) reported that, within Target 1, there was no provision for the implementation of the Playing Pitch Strategy or the Sports Strategy Action Plan.
- 430 Chre Shepherd from Torquay Museum and Natural History Society rem inded the Board of the recommendation of the Best Value Review of Museums Services in relation to the Evelofgrant that should be made to Torquay and Brixham Museums. She requested that next year's grant should take account of the decreased grant which was received for 2003/2004. The grant would be used to carry out essential repairs and maintenance and to undertake a membership drive.
- 431 Ms Shepherd reported that the Museum s Education Officerwas an asset to the Museum s Service. If the budgetwas set at Target 1 this postwould not be able to be expanded into a full-time position.
- 432 Edgar Law mence of Brixham Heritage Museum and History Society gave details of the services provided by Brixham Museum and its role within the community of Brixham. He argued that the amount of grantal located within Target 1 was not sufficient to cover the costs of running the Museum. Mrlaw mence suggested some savings which could be made to the Cultural Services budget including using sponsorship to fund the Paignton Fireworks. He did not see any merit in appointing a full time Museum s Education Officer as the officer was based in Torquay. He mecommended that the post be deleted from the establishment. The Assistant Director meported that, by making the post full-time, more work would be able to be carried outwithin Brixham.

SocialServices

4.33 The Executive Member for Social Services introduced the budget proposals for Social Services. She reminded the Board that, although the

service was dem and led, it was funded through a form uhe mather than based on committed cases. The demography of Torbay (including the high levels of older people and deprivation in some wards) placed unique pressures on the service. There was a statutory duty on the Authority to meet the needs of Social Services' clients although there was discretion about how that need was met. However, in the case of Children's Services, the Courts could determ ine the level of spending on some cases overwhich the Authority had no control.

- 4.34 Preventative services were seen as the key to reducing levels of dem and for higher cost services but these needed extra investment before savings could be made on other services.
- 435 The Director of Social Services gave details of the Local Government Association/Association of Directors of Social Services Budget Survey 2003. It was shown that the issues facing Torbay Council were similar to those faced by other social services authorities around the Country. The Directoralso gave details of the numbers of referrals and assessments in Torbay in relation to children's and adults envices compared with previous years. These demonstrated significant growth in the demand for services.
- 4.36 It was reported that the standstill position for Social Services was £4.471 m illion above the budget for the service for 2003/2004. This figure was above that set as Target 1. The standstill budget was comprised as follows:

	£m
SocialServicesBudget2003/2004 (asperBudgetDigest)	31.861
Quality Protects Grant and Care Leavers Grant (transferinto funding settlem ent)	1 349
StaffIncrem ents	0.162
In flation	1. 4 59
Coporate Restructuring	0.050
Fullyeareffectofcumentcases	1100
Adjustm entto 03/04 base budget	0.350
StandstillBudget	36.331

- 437 The key meason for the difference between these figures is that no account had been taken of the bas of the Quality Protects Grant and Care Leavers Grant in developing Target 1.
- 4.38 In addition to the need to address the shortfall in the base budget, growth item soff1.746 m illion had been identified which were required in order for the Council to meet its aspiration of the Social Services Directorate achieving a two starrating in 2005.

- 439 When asked how farthe Councilcould exercise discretion in responding to these growth items, the Director explained that all of the services provided by the Directorate (with the exception of Torbay Industrial Services) were statutory. The proposals which formed the growth items were designed to meet the minimum requirements of recent relevant legislation and contribute to the achievement of two starstatus.
- 4.40 If the Social Services budget was set at a standstill position all of the growth items set out within the Report would be affected. The risks and in plications of not undertaking these proposals were also set out in the Report. There would be an impact on the key performance indicators associated with Social Services. These indicators also formed part of the Comprehensive Performance Assessment of the Council. Work was ongoing within the Directorate to develop working practices in order to improve performance against indicators. However, the majority of this work had financial in pirations.
- 4.41 If the budget for Social Services was set at Target 1 or 2, the Directorate would be a difficult position in term sofdelivering the Council's obligations within the emerging Community Plan. Target 2 would enable the Directorate to meet its existing commitments but would not enable any of the identified growth items to be in plemented.
- 4.42 The Director stated that the following issues would be the priority areas to address:
 - Two additional Social Workers for Looked After Children
 - Increased fee levels for Care Homes and Domiciliary Care placements
 - A lbw ance fordem ographic grow th to cover the cost of new cases in 2004/2005
 - Two SocialWorkers, a Senior Practitioner and associated support for the Community Learning Disabilities Team
 - Increased IT provision to meet new Care Management requirements
- 4.43 Aspart of the Child Protection Review which was undertaken on behalf of the Board, the value of preventative social services had been highlighted. These services needed to be in place for a number of years before savings on other budgets could be identified. A number of preventative initiatives were set out within the Report but it would not be possible to in plan entany of these within the Targets set for the Service.
- 4.44 It was hoped that some of the other points raised through the Child Protection Review, such as an additional two social workers, would be met from different funding streams such as the Safeguarding Children Grant.
- 4.45 Steve Walwork of Torbay Primary Care Trust stated that he understood the difficulties faced by the Council in setting a budget for a dem and led service such as the Social Services Directorate. He felt that the Council

- needed to give careful consideration to adequate provision for new cases.
- 4.46 Robin Causey from Age Concern and Torbay Voluntary Forum highlighted the uncertainty that the Council's budget setting process meant for the voluntary sector. He requested that the budget be set earlier as there was a need for continuity within the sector especially where agencies held block contacts with the Council.
- 4.47 MrCausey reported that a number of social care projects had been commenced via the Torbay Partnership Committee over recent years. He stated that these projects now needed to be funded from the mainstream budgets of Social Services and health.
- 4.48 He also reported that the ability to pay for services was a real concern amongst older people and requested that the Councilactively promote the availability of Council Tax Benefit.
- 4.49 Joe Tow er, a mem berof the community, maked his concerns that blanket social care measures should not be applied. He thought that the Council should be more dynamic in the way that it delivered services. The Executive Memberstated that she was seeking to ensure that all Council Directorates were working together to meet the needs of the boal community with an emphasis on the needs of individuals.

Education

- 450 The Executive Member and Director for Learning and Cultural Services gave details of the Targets which had been set for the Education Service (i.e. for schools and central LEA services (including the Youth Service)). At the meeting of the Council held on 18th December 2003, it had been agreed that a minimum of £55.459 million (excluding support services costs) would be "passported" to the Schools Block of the budget for 2004/2005.
- 4.51 The Board heard details of the proposals which could be in plemented if the budget was set at Target 1. This included an increase in Individual Schools Budgets of £1.5 m illion which would substantially cover those item sof schools related expenditure that had been withdrawn or scaled back during the current financial year and the reinstatement of the funding related to the education of those children with Statements of Special Educational Need.
- 4.52 However, at Target 1, the historic underfunding of the Youth Service and of prim any pupils in relation to secondary pupils could not be addressed.
- 4.53 Given the Council's commitment to passport £55.459 million to the Schook Bbck, Target 3 would represent a reduction in the base budget which would need to be met from the LEA Bbck. This would have an impact on staffing which the Director advised would jeopardise the Council's recovery plans and inability to comply with statutory duties.

- 4.54 The Report gave details of a review of the school funding form uh which had been undertaken during the current financial year. An Activity Led Resourcing model had been used to calculate how much it would cost to run an "ileal" school. The gap between this cost and the actual bevelof funding for all schools within Torbay was shown to be £25.5 million. This was not unique to Torbay Council but would enable a charcase to be made to Government about the funding bevel required to adequately resource schools within Torbay.
- 4.55 When asked how fareach of the Targets met the recommendations of the Review of Funding for Schools which the Board had commissioned earlier in the year, the Board were reminded that schools had identified as part of the Review that a requirement of £22 million to fully fund existing commiments and allow some limited growth to recover the position that had built up over a number of years.
- 4.56 Target 1 will see £2.072m new money going into the Schook Bbck over and above the inflation provision. This is the result of the Council contributing a further £0.694m towards reducing the big term funding shortfall. This additional funding will meet increased costs such as upper pay spine progression, demographic factors, a contribution to the identified historic funding gap and other cost pressures faced by schook. Of the increase, £1.227m will go directly to schook on a pupilled basis ensuring that the minimum pupilguarantee is achieved and the balance, term ed as "Headroom", will be distributed on a pupilled basis to specific areas of concern such as targeted support to schook in difficulties following consultation with the Schook Forum.
- 4.57 Another recommendation from the Review was that, if the Government did not increase the Evelof resources for schook, the Council should consider how farit could increase funding. The Board concluded that the increased settlement from the Government was not sufficient to meet the funding gap but acknowledged that at Target 1, the Council would contribute additional resources to that already included in last year's budget.
- 4.58 The Board asked about the scope for releasing funds from within central education budgets to increase the funding available to schools. Further money could be delegated to schools from within the Schools Block although this would mean added responsibilities for school governing bodies. The scope to release funds from the LEA Block was limited as the Councilhad a duty to discharge the statutory functions of the LEA.
- 4.59 In terms of the Learning and Cultural Services Directorate's ability to deliver the actions within the Strategic Plan and Community Plan, at Target 1 it was expected that the actions within these Plans could be implemented. The rate atwhich the aspirations of these Plans could be metwould, however, be shwerthan hoped. One of the key aspects of achieving social inclusion was the provision of an effective Youth Service.

- At Target 2, it was proposed to allocate an additional £200,000 to the Youth Service but no increased provision is made at Target 1.
- 4.60 If the budget for the Directorate was set at a true stand still position, the Director reported that the Secretary of State for Education and Skills could use his reserved powers to require full passporting of the increase in the schools budget. There would also be implications for the forthcoming Of STED inspection.
- 4.61 The Director stated that the following issues were the priority areas for the education service:
 - Schools funding
 - Youth Service
 - Promotion of social inclusion in in aginative ways
- 4.62 Roger Hughes and Peter Maunder from Torbay Association of Primary Schools, Gill Battye and Jane English from Torbay Association of Secondary Headteachers, In Wright of Torbay Early Years Development and Childcare Partnership and Jean Willer from Torbay Governors' Association were invited to give their views on the proposals. The key points highlighted were:
 - The full repercussions of schools being less well funded during 2003/2004 had not yet been seen. Schools had not been able to put in place full support for less able or disadvantaged pupils in the current year. Therefore, the results of exam sand tests taken in May and June 2004 were expected to be affected.
 - Schook believed that further money, above that proposed at Target1, was needed to enable schook to be funded at the same levels in 2002/2003.
 - There was still a requirement to provide more funding for pupils at Key Stage 2.
 - Increased funding was also needed to meet the needs of pupils with Statements of Special Educational Needs.
 - The proposals did not take account of the impact that the levels of pupils transience in Torbay had on the provision of education.
 - Schools faced increased costs connected with the repairs and maintenance of school buildings. It was expected that the cost of meeting the requirements of the Control of Asbestos at Work Regulations would be metby schools.
 - In some cases, the quality of school buildings was in pacting on the perform ance of pupils and students.
 - Valuable schoolstaffhad been bstoverthe past financialyear.

- The Youth Service needed to be funded in order for a range of issues to be addressed within the community. There was also a need for recognition of the role schools had in ensuring the social inclusion of young sters.
- Further funding was required for early years placements and the expansion of the pre-schoolsector.
- 4.63 The Council, at its meeting held on 18th December 2003, requested that the views of the Schools Forum be considered by the Board during the budget setting process. The following resolutions of the Forum were noted:

11th December 2003

That the Schools Forum welcomes the prospect of the Council passporting the full Schools Block FSS to schools. However, it cannot emphasize too strongly that this perpetuates the loss of funding for 2003/2004 and will be a reduction in educational standards.

Many schools have set deficit budgets (under licence) against the prospectof increased funding whilst others have exhausted their reserves and have used capital funding to prop up their revenue budgets (NB. The latter avenue will not be open to them for 2004/2005)

The Forum cals upon the Council to set a figure for the Schools Block which substantially exceeds the passported figure.

15th January 2004

That the Council be advised that the Schools Forum expect to see schools' funding to equate to the figures presented by the IEA to the Forum 'smeeting on 15th January 2004 by fully reinstating the cutsmade in 2003/2004 and with growth focused on:

- 1. Delegated Statem ented Special Educational Needs
- 2. Key Stage 2 Age Weighted PupilUnits
- 3. Repairs and maintenance
- 4. Youth.
- 4.64 Joe Tow ler raised a number of points in relation to the availability of evening classes and teaching of CT skils.

Housing

4.65 The Executive Member for Social Services and the Assistant Director (Housing Services) gave details of the issues that could be addressed by the Housing Services Division if the budget for the service was set at each of the Targets. All of the issues related to the in plementation of either the Homelessness Strategy or the Housing Strategy which had recently been adopted by the Council.

- 4.66 If the budget for Housing Services was set at Target 1, the Council would be able to meet its obligations within the emerging Community Plan. However, a budget set at this level would fail to address those strands of the Housing Strategy related to homelessness but in response to questioning, Officers indicated the provision should meet expected demand on the service.
- 4.67 Elements of support for the Housing Service were provided within the budgets of other Council services (for example, accountancy support).

 This support was crucial to delivering in provements in the housing service.
- 4.68 If the budget was set at a true standstill position, the Assistant Director gave his view that the Council would fail to deliver the Housing and Homelssness Strategy. This would have consequences for the outcome of the Comprehensive Performance Assessment.

Comporate Governance

- 4.69 The Executive Member and Director for Corporate Governance reminded the Board that the primary purpose of the Corporate Governance Directorate was to support the Council in taking forward its Change Management processes.
- 4.70 If the budgetwas setat Target 1, it was noted that, whilst the Directorate would be able to deliver its primary objective of securing continuous in provement, it was not possible for provision to be made for boal priorities such as area for a, further in proved scrutiny arrangements or the outcomes of the Customer Focus Best Value Review. At Target 2 these boal priorities could be addressed. If the budget was set at Target 3, serious constraints would be in posed on the Directorate's ability to support the Change Management Plan. This would have a consequential in pact on the Council's Comprehensive Performance Assessment.
- 4.71 A budget set at Target 1 would enable the Directorate to deliver the Council's obligations within the draft Community Plan. The majority of the Directorate's involvement was in relation to the reducing the levels of crime and disorder with the area. In terms of meeting the commimments within the Strategic Plan, the pace of implementation of certain objectives would be shwer than expected. At Target 3 the Directorate would face serious challenges in meeting the objectives of the Plan. Levels of staffing would be affected as the other costs of the Directorate could not be reduced.
- 4.72 The requirements of the Licensing Act 2003 were currently being evaluated to determine the number and qualifications staf required within the Corporate Governance Directorate and the Legal Division.
- 4.73 In response to questions, the Director confirmed that the figure included within Target 1 for Members Albwances would not cover the full costs of

- the recently adopted Scheme fall members took up their full allowance and if there were no members with more than one special responsibility.
- 4.74 If the budget for the Directorate was set a true standstill position, it would be necessary to investigate if any one-off costs for the forthcoming year could be met from other sources.
- 4.75 The three priority areas that the Directorate would wish to address from within the proposals to meet Target 2 would be:
 - Financial support for a rea for a
 - Additional support for the scrutiny function
 - Provision to address the outcomes of the Best Value Review of Support Services
- 4.76 The Directorate sbudgetake included the grantal boation to the Torbay Circens' Advice Bureau. The Bureau had requested an increase of £20,000 to its grant for 2004/2005. Within Target 1, the Corporate Governance Directorate would be able to meet £4000 of this request with another £5000 being provided from the Housing Services budget. It was reported that if a further £1000 could be provided by the Council, the Bureau would be able to seek match funding. This would enable a rationalisation of service to be carried out over the forthcoming year. If this funding could not be found, one of the Bureaux would need to be cheed.
- 4.77 Ken Pickering of the Cizen's Advice Bureau attended the meeting and gave details of the services provided by the Bureaux, the impact on the Councilithe service was not provided and the reasons for the increased costs faced by the organisation.
- 4.78 Chief Superintendent Liam McGrath attended the meeting and indicated his support for the continued funding of the crime and disorder work undertaken by the Directorate. He also indicated that, if funding could be secured for the area fora, the Police would bok towards providing match funding as a means of underlining the potential of the fora as a means of capacity building and problem solving within boal communities.

Finance

- 4.79 The Executive Member and Director for Finance gave details of the proposals which could be in plemented if the budget for the Directorate was set at each of the Targets. The Report also gave details of the assumptions which had been made about the external factors affecting the Directorate 's budget.
- 4.80 The main issues facing the Finance Directorate were the change in Government's approach to the funding of Benefits, the requirement to address health and safety issues within the Exchequer and Benefits Division and the impact of the E-Government agenda. In addition, there

- were a number of statutory and corporate issues which needed to be addressed by the Directorate.
- 4.81 If the budget was set at Target 1, a number of initiatives could be achieved although the Director was concerned that the objectives in relation to E-G overnment within the Strategic Plan would not be metfully. In addition, contributions to the Council's balances would not be possible and there would be no provision for the various accommodation moves which were proposed for the forthcoming year.
- 4.82 If the budgetwas setat Target 3, because of the staff intensive nature of the Directorate, there would be a significant in pact on staffing. This would have a consequence on the level of service delivery to both clients and service departments. The health and safety issues within the Exchequer and Benefits Division would not be addressed. Setting a budget at a standstill position would have an adverse in pact on the results of future external inspections.
- 4.83 Within the Report considered by the Board, an inflationary increase had been included within the level of grant proposed for the Riviera International Centre. It was further reported that the level of grant would not be increased in cash terms for the forthcoming year.
- 4.84 The Director reported that the three priority issues from within the proposals which could be funded at Target 2 were:
 - Health and Safety works in the Connections Offices
 - Appointmentofa Trainee Valuer
 - Revenue costs of unsupported borrowing
- 4.85 It was later reported that the amount of levy due to the Environment Agency was lower than first estimated. The potential saving would be used to meet the anticipated increased costs in relation to benefits.
 - Hum an Resources
- 4.86 The Executive Member for Law, Support and Human Resources and the Director of Human Resources gave details of the implications of each of the Targets which had been set for the Directorate.
- 4.87 If a budgetwas setat Target 1, an enhanced service could be provided which would include the developm entofhum an resources practice and policy, targeted training and developm ent and the commencement of job evaluation. The risk of setting the budget at this level would be that the management practice needed to support organisational in provement would not be embedded.
- 4.88 The Audit Comm ission had, in the past, raised the issue of the capacity of Torbay Council. The Director gave her view that this did not just reflect the number of staff on the establishment. It also related to the ability of staff to undertake their roles effectively and efficiently. The capacity of

the organisation could be expanded by investing in training and development. This would have the added advantage of the Council becoming an attractive employer. This was a particular concern for a reas of the Councilwere it was becoming difficult to recruit and retain staff.

- 4.89 The work of the Hum an Resources Directorate had both a direct and indirect in pact on the delivery of the Community Plan. The Directorate supported the objectives of adult skill development and employment by providing jobs and supporting learning. By enhancing the capability of both frontline staff and managers, the Council would be betterable to meet the aim sof the Community Plan. There would be a need for the Strategic Plan to be amended for the forthcoming year to take account of the Hum an Resources Strategy.
- 490 If the budget for the Directorate was set at a true stand still position there would need to be still in ited in provement in the service offered by the Directorate as the work was ongoing in trying to in prove efficiency by "smarter" working Certain initiatives could not be in plemented and this would have an in pact throughout the Council.
- 491 The services which were seen as a priority from those within Target 2 were:
 - hcmased Learning and Developm entbudget
 - New payrolsystem
 - Provision of physiotherapy service (in conjunction with South Devon Healthcare NHS Trust)

Assistant Managing Director's Services

- 492 The Executive Member for Law, Support and Human Resources and the Director of Finance (on behalf of the Assistant Managing Director) presented proposals form eeting each of the Targets.
- 493 At Target 1 it was proposed that two additional posts be appointed. A Legal 0 fficerwas required to dealwith the additional work arising from of the Licensing Act 2003. (As detailed earlier, discussions were ongoing with the Director of Corporate Governance about the level of support needed as a result of the Act.) It was also proposed to appoint a Procurement 0 fficer. This post would be funded by contributions from other Directorates as it was anticipated that these costs would be covered by the savings arising from new purchasing arrangements.
- 4.94 The financial benefits of a procurement policy were difficult to quantify but it was expected that some savings would be made in the next financial yearwith furthers aving sheing seen overfuture years.
- 4.95 If the budget was set at Target 1 there would be other developments which could not be funded and the associated risks were set out in the

Report. Given that the recruim entof the LegalO fficer and Procurem ent O fficer was seen as essential, if the budget was set at Target 3 existing budgets would need to be cut and the associated service variations were setout in the report.

- 496 At Target 1 the Strategic Plan would not need to be adjusted. If the budgetwas set below this level, the actions a sociated with in proved risk management within the Council would need to be reviewed. If the budget was set at a standstill position the Council would need to determine how it would discharge the new licensing regime and in plement the procurement policy. There would a medium level of risk to the Council the budgetwas set below Target 1.
- 4.97 The issues which were seen as a priority from those within Target 2 were the appointment of the following posts:
 - ProjectManager
 - TechnicalPrinting Assistant
 - Part Tim e Em ergency Planning Officer

5. Conclusions

General

- The Executive should consider carefully the extent to which specific grants can be used to offset expenditure. Appendix 3 identifies those highlighted to the Board and the extent to which they had been incorporated to fund the base budget. The Board consider that at least a further £100,000 (possibly £200,000) could be used to fund the base budget. This figure may be significantly higher on more detailed examination.
- 5.2 The Executive willneed to be satisfied that:
 - (a) the delivery of the Council's Strategic Plan is funded or the Plan am ended where funding cannot be made available;
 - (b) the Councilcan meet its obligations in the Community Plan;
 - (c) the Local Public Service Agreement proposals are appropriately supported through the budget; and
 - (d) in light of the comments of Pricewaterhouse Coopers in the Annual Audit Letter, the underlying assumptions used for the budget are clearly articulated and that the associated risks are fully taken into account.
- 5.3 The Board would expect efficiencies emerging in the year to be used to meet service development proposals.
- 5.4 The Board believe that the availability of Council Tax Benefit should be actively promoted by the Council.

Environm entServices

- 5.5 In term so faddressing the concerns of bcalresidents, the Board feel that higher priority needs to be given to the cleansing and to let maintenance budget and the possibility of charging for the use of to lets should be reexam need in order for higher standards to be provided.
- 5.6 The Board believe that alternative methods of delivery and sources of funding should be considered to enable the Street Wardens Scheme to be extended. There would seem to be a clear argument for ensuring that those Councilemp byees who are "visible" around the Borough should have multi-functional roles.

Torbay Developm entAgency

5.7 Given the rem it of the Agency, it is appropriate at the start of its work that the budget be set at a level at least equal with the standstill position

following restructuring. The Agency needs to be in a position to enable it to put in place initiatives which will be nefit the area as a whole.

CulturalServices

- 5.8 The Board is concerned that, at this stage in relation to both Targets 1 and 2, no funding has been identified to enable the Library Service to meet its requirements with regard to the Local Public Service Agreement.
- 59 In light of the findings of the Best Value Review, the Board would wish to see the level of funding available to the Museum's Service (in particular Torquay and Brixham Museum's) increased. Given the comments made on behalf of Brixham Museum, consideration should be given to whether the proposals to appoint a full-time Museum's Education Officer should be implemented or the funding identified being used to support the museum sdirectly.

SocialServices

- 5.10 The Board consider that a correction should be made to the budget with consequential adjustments to the Targets. The Board were advised that the change in funding of the Quality Protects and Care Leavers Grants had not been fully reflected in the base budget. If this were done, the commitments of the Authority for 2004/2005 would be £36.331 million. The Board consider this is a more appropriate starting point for consideration of the Social Services budget than Target 1.
- 5.11 The Board consider the Executive will need further chrification of the responses given to it in respect of the unavoidable statutory duties which were not included at Target 1. These total £1.2 million plus a provision of £500,000 towards the cost of new cases in the year. The Director identified a limited choice of issues to be funded in paragraph 4.42 as compared with a more detailed analysis of statutory duties set out within her Report. The Board feel this needs further chrification and asked the Director to reconcile these issues. The Board also asked the Director to identify how these duties would be met if funding above Target 1 was unavailable.
- 5.12 The Board were disappointed that the findings of the Child Protection Review Panelin relation to the value of preventative services could not be accommodated within the Targets set for the service.
- 513 The Board sympathise with the comments made by the representative of the voluntary sector in relation to the difficulties faced by the sector due to the lack of continuity surrounding social care contracts. This issues can only be addressed if the method of funding of boal government is changed.

Education

- 5.14 The Board would wish to highlight that, at Target 1, new resources have been identified which will directly benefit schools. These amount to £694,000 from the Authority's resources.
- 5.15 The Board felt that further consideration should be given to further delegating funding to schools with the associated transfer of responsibilities.
- 5.16 Given the wider role of the Youth Service in promoting social inclusion in accordance with the Youth Plan, the Board believe that greater emphasis should be given to the Youth Service within Target 1.

Housing

5.17 The Board would highlight that Target 1 includes a level of growth that in plies the greater emphasis which has been placed on the Housing Service in the light of the Council's Corporate Priorities and in order to fund the recently adopted Housing Strategy.

Comporate Governance

5.18 The Board noted that, at Target1, if all members chim their full Albwance and there are limited duplications of special responsibilities, the full cost of the recently adopted Members' Albwances Scheme would need to be met from the Directorate 'soverall resources.

Finance

5.19 The Board notes the steps that have been taken to balance the Budget in light of the further information which has been received regarding the changes to the funding of Housing Benefits.

Hum an Resources

520 The Board consider the proposals for introducing a physiotherapy services should be supported in light of the anticipated in pacton sixkness levels and therefore the efficiency of the organisation.

Assistant Managing Director's Services

521 Given that the appointment of a Procurement Officer would lead to cost savings throughout the Authority, the Board felt that the importance placed on this post by the Executive was appropriate. The savings should be used to find the post and to meet other corporate development items.

- 6. Recom m endations
- That the Executive consider the information which has been presented to the Overview and Scrutiny Board over the series of meetings involving the Executive Members, Directors and stakeholders and that it takes account of the conclusions reached by the Board in formulating its recommendations to the Council.

Acknow edgem ents

The Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Board would like to thank all of the Executive Members and Directors for attending the meetings of the Board.

They would also like to thank those Stakeholderswho attended the meeting and gave the irviews to the Board.

Appendix 1

In plications of the Recomm endations

Iegal

Financial

The purpose of this Report is to consider the implications on all services of the proposal sput forward by the Executive.

Property

Change MgtPlan

The recommendations contained within this Reportane contains to or not wholly in accordance with the Council's Budget and Policy Framework

The recom m endations contained within this Report would be a Key Decision

Appendix 2

Invited StakeholderOrganisations

Brixham Cham berofTrade Torquay Cham berofTrade Paignton Cham berofTrade Federation of SmallBusinesses

Torbay Business Forum Brixham Trawler Agents Ltd Torbay Tomorrow Partnership

Brixham 21 Debenham s

South Devon Cham berofCom merce

FleetW a k RetailC onsortium

MDL

To bay Self Catering Association To bay Hospitality Association

Bridge HotelsG roup Five StarAttractions

English Riviera Association of Tourism
Exeter Diocesan Board of Education
Diocese of Plymouth, Department for

Form ation

Torbay Association of Secondary

Headteachers
South Devon College

Torbay Governors' Association Local Strategic Partnership

Connexions

Torbay Association of Primary Schools

SchoolsForum

Combe Pafford Special School Early Years Developm entand

Childcare Partnership Devon and CornwallConstabulary Devon Fire and Rescue Service ResidentialCare Homes Trust

Registered Nursing Homes Association Children's and Young Peoples Strategic

Partnership Mencap

Torbay Partnership Committee Torbay Primary Care Trust

South Devon Healthcare NHS Trust

Checkpoint

The Children's Fund

Devon Partnership NHS Trust

Riviera Housing Trust

West Country Housing Association Devon and ComwallHousing

Association

Sovereign Housing Association Signpost Housing Association

UN ISO N G M B NUT

Torbay Sports Council Riviera Centre Ltd.

CCLLeisure Paignton Zoo

FriendsofTome Abbey

Brixham Heritage Museum and History

Society

Torquay Natural History Society

Arts Forum

Torbay Coastand Countryside Trust

Stagecoach Devon

Countrybus/AlanswayCoaches

First

G roundwork Bay Bbom s

Torbay Voluntary Service Citizens' Advice Bureau

Age Concern

Acom Youth and Com m unity

Association

Devon and ExeterRace Equality

Council

South Devon Coalition of Disabled

People

Herald Express Publications

Job Centre Plus Youth Parlam ent

Appendix3

Grantnotifications and applications

			- 1 -	
Dimectorate	G a nt	Value £'000	Included in revenue.	Com m ent
			budget?	
Componate Governance	C apacity Building Fund	75 (e.st.)	No	Bid subm itted on behalfofall Devon authorities. Untilitis known whether the bid is successful and what it can be used for, no assum ptions should be made on its use in 2004/05. It may though meet some of the development issues raised but not included on a "one- off" basis.
	Crime& Disorder- Safer Communities	171 (e <i>s</i> t)	No	Any grant (if awarded) to be spent on specific activities identified in grant.
	Planning Delivery	75 (e <i>s</i> t)	Yes	To be used to meetODPM Public Service Agreement 6. This relates to certain BVPIs being used to fund expenditure that should in prove performance.
Environm ent	Rum lBus Subsidy	13	Yes	Balance of monies only paid on statem entof spend and actual outturn.
	Street Wardens	30	No	Audited by PW C to ensure spenton appropriate issues.
	Recyc li ng	57	No	One-offgrant to help all authorities achieve its statutory recycling targets for 2005/06. To be used for development items.
	Benefits- (1) Adm in Subsidy	1,094	Yes	Additional sum recently been notified which is expected to meet, abng with others avings the potential cost of benefit payments
	(2) Tax& Pension C redis	74	Yes	cumently not in base. More may be available and notified at a later point but at this stage it would be wrong to include any further sum s
Finance	(3) Verification	239 (e <i>s</i> t)	Yes	in base. Representations have been made to DW P and will form part of any representations to ODPM.
	(4) Subsidy (RA/C TB/ RR)	44,400 (e.st.)	Yes	Note: Majority of rentrebate subsidy within Housing
	NNDR Collection Albwance	210	Yes	A low ance forcollection of NNDR
Hum an	Capacity	100	No	Paymentfrom ODPM for

Directorate	G ænt	Value £'000	Included in revenue. budget?	Com m ent
Resources	gænt	(e st)		managementDevebpmentarising from "Poor" status.One-offin nature.
Learning and Culture	Standards Funds	laThcat- Ves		Sum off1.737m a heady included in base as the Councils contribution to calling down this sum where matched funding required from Council.
Asst.Man. Dimector	Em ergency Planning	67 (e.st.)	Yes	Home 0 ffice grant to funde ligible expenditure
Social Services&	Sa feguarding Children	230	No	Condition foruse notyetheceived but expected to be used for "Virtoria Climbie" issues. Therefore some could be applied for development issues identified for this purpose.
Housing	Accessand System s Capacity	1,542	No	Mainly to be used in conjunction with partnership working with PCT. Currentyear100k used to support revenue and a similar sum could be applied nextyear.

^{*} of which £3 195m is direct grant from DfES

APPENDX4

The initial program me of action is to be prioritized by the new ly formed Cultural Partnership. The cost related to delivering the Strategy, the funding partners, and the timescales are therefore yet to be agreed. Initial consultation on the document suggested that an allow ance be paid to the proposed Cultural Champion. This is expected to be the only cost in 2004/5 but be lieve that this will be fully funded through Arts South West and Culture South West.

W hatw ill happen	Linked strategic theme(s)	Lead responsibilit V	Tim escale and key m ilestones	Like ly costs	Potental sources of funding	W hatw ilbe achieved and how thisw ilbe measured	Key risks to be m anaged
i) Selecting a chairof the Cultural Partnershi p to act asa high profile "Cultural Cham pion or Am bassad or", supported by new Cultural Team within Torbay Council	AII	Torbay Cultural Partnership (when form ed)	Appointment to be made by April2004: Robsand responsibilities as "CulturalChampion or Ambassador" to be determined and agreed - by December 2003 CulturalPartnership formed - by January 2004 Chair of Cultural Partnership chosen - by March 2004 Working a mangements agreed between CulturalPartnership and new Council CulturalTeam - by April 2004	Lim ited costs only in term s ofdirectcosts	-	In proved profile and leadership forcultural activities and sector Greater strategic direction forcultural activities be ally Increased awareness be ally and take up of cultural opportunities Progress to be measured by Cultural Partnership	Identifying and agreeing clear to lean d responsibilities Selecting the right person with appropriate qualities Developing and maintaining momentum of various initiatives
ii) Bidding to Cental	Meeting the cultural	Torbay Council	Bid to be developed in 2004/05:	Potentialto attract up to	Arts Council	Long tem partnership to be developed between	Securing support for bid

W hatwill	Linked	Lead	Tim escale and key	Like ly	Potental	W hatw ilbe achieved	Key risks to be
happen	strategic	responsibilit	m ilestones	costs	sourcesof	and how this will be	m anaged
	them e (s)	У			fund ing	m easured	9
Governm entto	needsof Torbay's		Discussions with Arts	£750,000 budgetin first	Eng and	Torbay schools and cultura l/creative /herita	from bcal schools,
establish a	young		C ouncilEngland	year, subject		ge professionals	cultura land
C reative	people and		aboutnextbilding	to success of		Devebp young	heritage
Partnershi	children		opportunity for	bid		people'screativity in	professionals
p bring ing	more		C reative Partnerships-			baming and enable	_
together	effectively;		January – March 2004			them to take part in	Securing
schools .	chang ing					cultura lactivities,	agreem ent
and	people's		Bid prepared - during			connecting to all parts	from Regional
cultural	attitude and		2004			ofthe schoolcuriculum	Office of Arts
profession	understandi					Progress to be	Council
alsina bng tem	ng of culture and					m on ito red by Torbay	England to submit bid
partnershi	the area's					Council-asLocal	
pamieisii	cultural					Education Authority –	Subm itting
P	heritage					and schools	convincing
							bid
jij)	Increasing	Torbay	Leisure Cardin place -	Almeady	Torbay	Increased take up of	Identifying
Introduc in	accessto	Council	by July 2004:	agreed in	Council,	cultura lactivities by	and agreeing
g Torbay	cultural			pmicple		bcalpeople	practical
Leisure	opportunitie						amangem ents
Cand to	sacross					Increase in num berof	to introduce
provide	Torbay:					bcalpeople taking part	Leisure Card
in proved	chang ing					in cultura lactivities for	
accessto	people's					the first time	
cultural	attitude and						
activities, including	understandi ng of						
ncuang bcal	ng or culture and						
people	the area's						
from bw	cultural						
incom e	heritage						
household	5 -						
S							

W hatw ill happen	Linked strategic theme(s)	Lead responsibilit Y	Tim escab and key m ibstones	Likely costs	Potental sources of funding	W hatw illbe achieved and how thisw illbe m easumed	Key risks to be m anaged
iv) Securing and minning a program m e ofworld class cultural and sporting events/ activities, particularl y based on Torbay's martim e and coastal environm e nt	In proving the quality of cultural activities cumently available and ensuring bright in a sing awareness of Torbay's cultural successes and characteristics; meeting the cultural needs of Torbay's young people and children more	Torbay Council and Torbay Business Forum	Program m e ofhigh profile events and activities to be developed by March 2004: Detailed planning of program me - from April 2004 onwards	to be determ ined but like ly to be significant	National Lottery, EU, SW RDA, Torbay Council, bcal businesses and other sources	Majrincease in national/international awareness of Torbay Increase in investment in bcalculural sector from external funding sources Increase in jointworking between bcalculural organisations	Securing bcal support for program me of events and activities Obtaining sufficient funding to ensure high profile events/activities Determining arrangements for professional project management of successful events and activities
v) Making greater use of the area's natural environme nt, beaches	effectively Increasing people's access to cultural opportunitie sacross Torbay; raising	Torbay Council	Develop agreed program me of cultural activities which draws on the area 'shistoric buildings and open spaces by March 2004:	Nil-in term s ofdirectcosts	-	hcrease in use of high profile historic buildings and open spaces for cultural activities hcrease in people's access to cultural activities	Securing satisfactory outcome to National Lottery bil in respect of Tome Abbey

W hatwill	Linked	Lead	Tim escale and key	Like ly	Potental	W hatw ilbe achieved	Key risks to be
happen	strategic	responsibilit	m ilestones	costs	sourcesof	and how this will be	m anaged
napp on	them e (s)	V	2521162	0020	fund ing	m easured	m arrag oa
and	aw areness	1	Determ ine outcom e		miia iig	m camea	0 btaining
h istoric	ofTorbay's		ofHeritage Lottery			Improved awareness of	agreem ent to
buildings	cultura l		Fund bid in respectof			Torbay'scultural	use ofnew
asvenues	sicceses		Tone Abbey - by			achievem entsand	open spaces
forcultural	and		January 2004			character	and/orhistoric
activities	characteristi		1 1 1				buildingsfor
	CS		Identify new				cultural
			opportunities for use				activities
			asculturalvenuesand				
			settings, in conjunction				
			with Torbay Coastand				
			Countryside Trust-by				
			January 2004				
vi) Creating	Increasing	Torbay	Councilpolicyon	to be	Torbay	hcrease in high profile	Securing
public art,	people's	Council	public art to be	detem ined	Council,	publicart, street the atre	Council
events	accessto		detem ined – by June		private	and events, eading to	approvalfor
and street	cultural		2004		æctor	an increase in bcal	new policyon
theatre in	opportunitie				businesses,	satisfaction with cultural	public art
tow n	sacross		New programme of		devebpers	facilities	
centres	Torbay;		public artand events				Ensuming that
and	chang ng		to be developed -by			To be measured by	anynew
public	people's		Septem ber2004			CulturalPartnership	public art,
open	attitude and						street theatre
spaces,	understandi						oreventsare
which	ng of						partofthe
complem	culture and						future overal
entthe	the area's						panning and
Torbay LocalPhn	cultura l						devebpment of the area
LOCALPAN	heritage;						orme area
	in proving the quality						and are sensitivity
	ofcultural						planned so as
	activities						to gain public
	cumently					l	support

W hatw ill happen	Linked strategic theme(s)	Lead responsibilit Y	Timescale and key milestones	Likely costs	Potental sources of funding	W hatw illbe achieved and how thisw illbe m easumed	Key risks to be m anaged
vii) Proviling	available and ensuring bngerterm sustainability In proving	Business	Introduce new	Adv i ortim e	Bu sin e ss	Increase in stability,	Securing
support to boal creative and cultural industries in term sof help with funding applications, providing marketing advire and other business support services	the quality of cultural activities cumently available and ensuring bngerterm sustainability	Link for Devon and Comwall	Business Link bed program me of support for bocal creative and cultural industries - by Septem ber 2004: Hentification of needs and priorities - by April 2004 Support for new program me secured from key partners such as Arts Council England, South West Museum s, Libraries and Archives Council, Torbay Council (in respect of providing help with developing funding applications) etc by June 2004 Agreem entreached on targeted program me of business support services - by June 2004		Link for Devon and Comwall, Torbay Council (officer time in providing help with funding application s)	profiability and bng term sustainability of bcalcreative and cultural industries Increase in investment in the creative and cultural sector from external funding sources To be measured by Cultural Partnership and Business Link for Devon and Cornwall	supportand com m im ent from Business Link and other partners, as appropriate Generating sufficient take up of support services by bcalcreative and cultural industries

W hatw ill	Linked	Lead	Tim escale and key	Like ly	Potental	W hatw ilbe achieved	Key risks to be
happen	stra tegic	responsibilit	m ilestones	costs	sourcesof	and how this will be	m anaged
	them e (s)	У			fund ing	m easured	
viii)	M eeting the	ANTAntists	Introduce pibt	Scaleofpibt	SW RDA, EU,	Increase in use and	Securing
Exp b iting	cultural	Networks	program m e ofweb	programme	ArtsCouncil	experience of electronic	supportfrom
advance	needsof	Technobgy	camsofbcalcultural	to be	Eng land	m ed a by bcalyoung	bcalcreative
s i n new	Torbay's		activity – by M arch	determined		people	and cultural
technob	young		2004:			Open new market	industries to
gy and	people and					opportunities for bcal	participate in
electroni	children					cultura lorganisations	pibt
c m ed ia	more					and individuals	programme
to enable	effectively;						
bcal	ra ising					Raise the profile of	0 btaining
cultura l	awameness					Torbay in term sofits	funding for
activities	ofTorbay's					application of new	pibt
to be	cultural					technobgy	programme
accessed	successes					To be m easured by	
mone	and					CulturalPartnership	Managing
easily	characteristi						possible
eg.via	CS						expansion of
the							initiative if
Internet							pibt
							programme
							proves
							successful
ix)	In proving	Torbay	Decisions reached on	to be	National	Increase in high quality	Failure to
Devebpi	the quality	Cultural	scale and type of new	determined	Lottery,	facilities available to	reach
ng 	ofcultural	Partnership	facilities required by	after	Torbay	bcalcultural	agreem ent
quality	activities		Septem ber2004:	outcom e of	Council,	organisations	on key priority
facilities	cumently			Hemitage -	bcal		needs to be
foruse by	available		Long term future of	Lottery Fund	cultural	Increase in take up of	addressed
bcal	and .		Palace Theatre	bid forPalace	sector,	cultura lactivities	Leel .
people	ensumg		determined and	Theatre is	private		Difficulty in
and	bngertem		Lottery funding	known	Trusts	Increase in take up of	securing
onganisati	susta nability		secured-by March			culturalpeople by	fund ing

W hatw ill happen	Linked strategic	Lead responsibilit	Tim escale and key m ilestones	Likely costs	Potental sources of	W hatw illbe achieved and how thisw illbe	Key risks to be m anaged
	them e (s)	У			fund ing	m easured	
ons'n	;meeting		2004			people forthe first tine,	support
tem sof	the cultural					particularly young	
perform a	needsof		CulturalPartnership to			people and children	
nces,	Torbay's		determine what				
exh i bition	young		facilities are required			hcrease in jointworking	
s, events,	people and		and devebp business			between bcalcultural	
rehearsal	chidren;		case forany			organisations	
space	increasing		additional facilities in				
and for	peop b 's		the lightofdecisions			To be m easured by the	
inform al	accessto		on the Palace Theatre			Cultural Partnership	
le isure	cultural		– by June 2004				
activities	opportunitie						
	sacross						
	Torbay						

W hatwill	Linked strategic	Lead	Tim escale and key	Like ly	Potental	W hatwillbe	Key risks to be
happen	them e (s)	responsibility	m ilestones	costs	sourcesof	achieved and how	m anaged
					fund ing	this will be measured	
x) In proving	In proving the	Torbay	New	£10,000	Localcultural	hcrease in num ber	Securing
the co-	quality of	Cultural	anangem ents	plus	organisations	ofpeople taking	agreem ent
ord ination of	cultural	Partnership	agreed to share	ongo i ng	,Torbay	part in cultural	am ongstbcal
inform ation	activities		inform ation	maintenan	Council	activities	organisations to
betw een	cumently		between	ce/update			share
bcalcultural	avaiable and		organisations by	costs for		hcrease in joint	inform ation
organisations	ensumig bnger		April2004:	website		working between	
and their	te m			and		bcalculuml	Ensuming such
m arketing	sustainability;		Detailed	ongoing		organisations	amangem ents
activity to	in proving		amangem ents	publication			are properly
potential	people's		devebped forthe	costsof		To be m easured by	m aintained
audiences	accessto		m one effective	quarterly		Cultura l Partnership	
	cultural		shaming of	newsletter			0 b taining
	opportunities		information on				funding for
	acrossTorbay;		perform ances,				new/enhanced
	ra ising		eventsand venues				w eb site
	awarenessof		etc-byMarch				
	Torbay'scultural		2004				Ensuring new
	successes and						m arketing
	characteristics		Specification				m aterial
			devebped for				(including
			new/enhanced				website) is
			website promotion				sufficiently
			ofcultural				accessible and
			activities - by June				innova tive
			2004				
			New/enhanced				
			w ebsite				
			introduced-by				
			August2004				
			Amangem ents				

Revenue	Budge	t2004,	/2005
---------	-------	--------	-------

	agmed for quarterly new setterforbcal cultural organisations-by April2004			
--	---	--	--	--

BRIEFING NOTE TO THEOVERVIEW & SCRUTINY BOARD

RE: LONG TERM FUNDING IMPLICATIONS OF THE SPORTS, PLAYING PLICH, AND CULTURAL STRATEGIES

Sum mary

This docum ent contains two action plans. The first relates to the sports and Playing Pitch Strategies and provides Members with an overview of the actions required, the potential funders and the cost relating to Torbay Council over the next 3 years. The second relates to an initial programme of action proposed for the to the Cultural Strategy. This action plan will not be finalized until the Cultural Partnership has been developed later this Spring.

The document includes a summary page for the Sports and Playing Pitch Strategy which specifically identifies Torbay Council's potential commitment to funding the action plansover the next 3 years.

The Play Facilities Review and the Play Strategy are yet to be completed and therefore is no indication of the final cost of delivering a full list of the actions that can be identified. However the current situation in our play grounds is critical and recent inspections have identified up to 50 items of play equipment that need major repair or replacement. Although some of this can be addressed through the existing budget, it is unlikely that more than 25% of the high priority repairs can be achieved.

In 2005 the Governmentwillbe alboating funding under their Phy Review, for informal phy in provements in the most deprived communities. This fund could equate to £2m in Devon and it is felt by the Children's Society that Torbay with a high level of deprivation in 7 wards, will significantly benefit from the fund.

Sue Cheriton

Assistant Director - Cultural Services

ACTION PLAN FOR SPORTS STRATEGY 2003-2007

Action Plan for Sports Developm ent

Policy No.	In itia tive	C ost/Resp	Funding
D1.1	Le isure Card	£70,000 TC	CapitalProgram m e
D12	G P RefenalSchem e	£80,000	LPSA Funded
	Healthy Schools	PC T	-
	Healthy Living Centres	PC T	PFIO ptions
D13	hitatives to address health issues	PC T	
D21	Torbay Tennis Developm ent Plan	£10,000 TC	Revenue Funded
	Sw in 21	Active Sports	
	Devon Athletics Developm ent Plan	Active Sports	
D31	Study Support	PC C	
	Community TO Ps	Pend ing	
	Active CommunitiesDevelopment	£10,000 TC	PartFunded
	Program m e		
D32	SchoolSportsCommunityProgramme	PC C	
D33	TO Ps	Pending	
D3.4	Coaching for Teachers	PC C	
D3.5	AwardsforAI	TC	Funded
	Community TO Ps	TC	Funded
D3.6	SportsMark/Active Mark	PC C	
D3.7	Sporting C ham pions	No cost	
D3.8	Phyng forSuccess	TC	
D39	Involvem entwith School& Community	TC	
D41	Torbay Coach Education Program me	Breakeven	Funded
D42	Funding Guide	1500	2004/5
D43	Community TO PS	N/A	
D4.4	C hb Devebpm ent-C hb Devebpm ent Evening	2000	Funded
D45	Sports Personality Awards	1500	Funded

Policy No.	Initiative	Cost/Resp	Fund ing
D4.6	Devon Coach of the Year	2600	Funded
D4.7	Torbay Sports Directory	1500	2005/6

Key

TC = Torbay CouncilFunding, PCT = Primary Care Trust, Active Sports = Devon County CouncilScheme, PCC = Paignton Community Sports College,

PFI= Public Finance Initiative, LPSA = LocalPublic Service Agreem ent-CentralGovernm entFunded

Action Plan Infrastructure

Policy No.	Initia tive	Cost/Resp	Funding
F1.4	Funding Strategy	£2,000 TC	
F2.1	Torquay Lawn Tennis Club - develop indoorfacilities	TLIC	LITA Funded
F2 2	In provem ents to public tennis courts:	£33,000 TC	Completed
	Abbey Park, Furzeham, Upton Park and Victoria Park.	£15,000	To be program m ed
F3.2	Renew moofofBrixham Pool, attend to comosion of	Brixham Pool	Brixham Pool
	structure, disabled changing and showerfacilities.		106 funding options
F3.3	Renewaloffacilties-Swin Torquay, Plainmoor	£50,000	Part106 Funded
F3.4	RenewalmofatO blway Prim ary	-	
F4.1	Support the developm entofan Athletics track	£650,000 TC	SportEngland bid 2004
F5.1	Strategic review of sports pitches	Completed	
F5 2	ATP atTG G S	Completed	
F5.3	New playing fields and changing facilities at Barton	£150,000 TC	106 Funded
F5 <i>A</i>	New playing fields Bridge Road	TBC TC	
F6.1	Sportspitch levelling		
F6 2	Sportspitch drainage – Clennon Valley		
F63	Sportspitch drainage - Churston Grammar School	C hurston	Footballfoundation
		GrammarSchool	
F6.4	Transferjinbrfootballto schoolpitches	£25,000 TC	In 2004/5 pressures
F6.5	Rationalisation of small sites as majornew facilities are completed	TBC TC	
F7.1	Facilitate increased usage of sports facilities by all by	£50,000	h budgetpressures 2004/5
T7 0	in provem ents to changing room s.	mpc mc	Medium priority
F7.2	New changing facilities at White Rock Primary School	TBC TC	TBC
F7.3	Workwith NOF to in prove facilities based at	NOF TC	NOF
F8.1	CommunityColleges in Torbay Support in provem ents to existing sports halls to raise	TBC TC	TBC
101	standards	TBC TC	IBC
F8.2	TCC - SportsHaI	NOF	NOF
F9 2	Support the improvement of sailing clubs including shore facilities, boats to rage hunching and	TBC	TBC

Policy No.	In itia tive	Cost/Resp	Funding
	competition.		
F10.1	SRB5 New DealforTorbay to develop more physical activity focus	N/A TC	
F10 2	Objective 2 to promote neighbourhood renew aland skilldevelopm ent. 7 wards - volunteering activities,	Sm alschemes	SRB PartFunded
	com m unity transport facilities, vocational learning etc.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
F103	Sure Start Round 1 - Blatchcom be	Sure Start	Sure Start
F10.4	Sure Start Round 2 - Central Torquay	Sure Start	Sure Start
F10.5	StreetWardensScheme	Completed	h Progress
F10.6	Healh In provement Programme (Him P) - develop initiatives	PC T	PC T h it is tive
F10.7	Bay Waks - In prove attractiveness of a rea	PC T/TC C T	Funding post 2005 to be addressed

Action Plan ForW aterSports

POLICYNO.	IN IIIA TIVE	C O ST/RESP	FUN D IN G
W 1.1	Develop a watersports forum forsea usergroups.	No cost TC	
W 12	Produce a CoastalZone ManagementStrategy	£2,000 TC	2005/6 budget
W 2.1	Produce a code of conduct/calendar of events to	No Cost TC	Ongoing
	coverallameasofpotentalconflict		
W 2.2	Review beach zoning and non-club related users of the	NocostTC	Beach Strategy 2005/6
	coastine		
W 3.1	Investigate potentialnew access sites and in prove	No costTC	Completed
	access to existing sites for disabled people.		
W 3.2	Investigate potentialnew access sites and in prove	TBC TC	2004/5
	access to existing sites for disabled people and elderly.		
W 3.3	Mantan in working order and nvestigate the feasibility	TBC TC	2005/6
	of 'hoist' facilities to get disabled people into the		
	watercraft.		
W 4.1	Review bathing waterquality standards with relevant	No costTC	Completed Annually
	agencės.		
W 4.2	Prepare interpretation strategy	TBC TC	TBC
W 4.3	Provide m one binson beaches	TBC TC	TBC
W 5.1	In prove links with schools and hard to reach groups	No cost TC	_
W 5.2	In prove marketing and produce high quality information	£5,000 TC	Revenue funding
			pressure 2004/5
W 6.1	New slip wayat Torquay Harbourw idening Beacon	Partof Public Realm TC	Objective 2 HLFG rants
	Q uay		
W 7.1	New shore facilities, boatstorage, bunching and	TBC	2005/6
	completion of other facilities.		

Key:

HLF = Heritage Lottery Fund, Objective 2 = Transition funding from the Regional Development Agency

$\underline{\texttt{SUM}} \; \underline{\texttt{M}} \; \underline{\texttt{A}} \; \underline{\texttt{RY}} \; \underline{\texttt{O}} \; \underline{\texttt{F}} \; \underline{\texttt{SPO}} \; \underline{\texttt{RTS}} \; \underline{\texttt{TEG}} \; \underline{\texttt{Y}} \; \underline{\texttt{A}} \; \underline{\texttt{C}} \; \underline{\texttt{TIO}} \; \underline{\texttt{N}} \; \underline{\texttt{PRO}} \; \underline{\texttt{PO}} \; \underline{\texttt{PSED}} \; \underline{\texttt{BUDG}} \; \underline{\texttt{ET}} \; \underline{\texttt{PRO}} \; \underline{\texttt{JEC}} \; \underline{\texttt{TIO}} \; \underline{\texttt{N}} \; \underline{\texttt{S}}$

Relating to Projects expected to be funded by Torbay Council

IN IIIA TIVE	FUNDING STATUS	2004/5	2005/6	2006/7
Le isure Card	CapitalBid	£70,000	£20,000	£20,000
G P ReferalSchem e	Funded LPSA	£30,000	£25,000	£25,000
Tombay Tennis Developm ent Plan	Unfunded	£0	£10,000	£10,000
Active Com m unitiesDevebpm entProgram m e	PartFunded	£5,000	£5,000	£0
Funding Guide	Funded	£1,500	£0	£1,500
C lib Devebpm entEvening	Funded	£2,000	£2,000	£2,000
Sports Persona lity Awards	Funded	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500
Devon Coach of the Year	Funded	£2,600	£2,600	£2,600
Torbay Sports Directory	Funded	£0	£1,500	£0
Funding Strategy	Unfunded	£0	£2,000	£0
In provem ents to Public Tennis Courts Abbey Park & Furzeham	Unfunded	£7,000	£7,000	£0
Renewaloffacilities Swim Torquay	PartFunded 106	£0	£35,000	£0
Devebpm entofan AthleticsTrack	Unfunded *	£650,000 *	£0	£0
New playing fields and changing facilities at Barton (Tip site)	Funded 106	£0	£150,000	£0
TransferJuniorFootballto SchoolPitches	Unfunded	£25,000	£0	£0
Facilitate increased usage of sports facilities by all by	Unfunded (In	£50,000	£50,000	£50,000
in provem ents to changing room s	2004/5 budget			
	pressures)			
Objective 2 Neighbourhood renew aland skilldeve bpm ent	Unfunded	£10,000	£5,000	£5,000
(sm a lschem es)				
Ska teboard ing parks	Unfunded	£40,000	£10,000	£5,000
Produce CoastalZone ManagementStrategy	Funded	£0	£2,000	£0

In prove marketing and produce high quality information for	Unfunded (In	£5,000	£5,000	£5,000
sportsactivity	2004/5 budget			
	pressures)			

In provemarketing and produce high quality information for sports activity	Unfunded (In 2004/5 budget pressures)	£5,000	£5,000	£5,000
IAT OT		£130,000.00	£333,934.17	£127,886.57

^{*} The Athletics Track is currently subject to a provisional bid to Sport England under the irM ultiUse Facility Fund. The Authority may be required to provide

partnership funding of up to 25% if the funding bid is approved and successful.

Action Plan For Playing Pitch Strategy

There are no additional costs identified in the Playing Pitch Strategy that are not a leady reflected in the Sum mary Document above.

W HAT?	W HERE?	W HY?	WHEN?	FUNDING?	C O ST/RESP	TIM ETA BLE
1.Develop fim policies in the LocalPlan to ensure that public open space is secured and prevent further basefgrasspitches	AlofTorbay	There are num erous shortfalls of pitches, particularly for junior football and junior rugby across the district. Until these shortfalls are addressed, no more pitches should be bst	h nextlocalPan review High Prority	n/a	TC	2005/6
2. Investigate the potential of securing dual-use of schoolpitches to address shortfallofpitches	AllofTorbay	There is an identified shortfall of junior football, cricket and junior nugby pitches	High Pronty	n/a	Schools/TC	2004/5
3. Investigate the potential of a pint Football Foundation funding bid between the Counciland Churston Feners Grammar Schoolfornew football piches	Brixham	There is an identified shortfall of junior football pitches in Brixham and this development would mean dualuse of pitches between the community and education	High Priority	Football Foundation	Churston Fenens Grammar School	2004/5
4. Exam ine the potential for developing new pitches for innorfootball, innormaby and cricketat Barton Valley (designated in the Local Plan)	Torquay	There is an identified shortfall of junior football, junior mugby and cricket pitches in the Torquay area	Medium Pronty	Sport England Lottery Fund, Rugby Football Foundation	£250,000 Varbus including Torbay contrbution of£150,000	2005/6
5.Exam ine the potential of converting adult football pitches to junior football pitches	Torquay, Paignton	There is an identified over- supply of adult football pitches and under-supply of junior football pitches in both these areas	Medium Prority	n/a	Schools/IC	2004/5
6.Exam ine the potentialof developing new junior	Pa ignton	There is an identified shortfall of these pitches	Medium Prority	Sport England Lottery Fund,	Schools/TC	2005/6

W HAT?	W HERE?	W HY?	WHEN?	FUNDING?	C O ST/RESP	TIM ETA BLE
footballand juniormgby pichesand a cricketpich				Rugby Football Foundation, Section 106 agreem ents		
7. Exam ine the potential of providing new pitches for junior footballand junior mighty in the improvements to White Rock Recreation Ground (funded by NOF)	Brixham	There is an identified shortfall of junior football and junior mugby pitches in this area	Medium Priority	NOF	TC	Complete d
8. Exam he the potential for securing new pitches in Brixham for junor football and junoring by through Section 106 agreem ents	Brixham	There is an identified shortfall of these pitches and there is a lack of existing sites that could be used	Medium Pronty	Section 106	TC	2005/6
9. In prove changing facilities at playing fields across the district. Priority should go to those listed in Table 6.5	AllofTorbay	The quality of changing facilities in the district is poor	Ongoing	Sport England Lottery Fund, Rugby Football Foundation, Football Foundation	TC £50,000	2004/5 Budget Pressure
10.Maintain allothersites in the districts ensure that provision does not deteriorate and to allow for restand recovery of existing pitches	AlofTobay	Torbay hasbeen identified as having a bebw-average num berofpitchesatpresent, so there should be no further bss	Ongoing	n/a	TC	0 ngo i ng

Torbay Council

O verview and Scrutiny Board 28th January, 2004

Environm entServices Directorate Revenue Budget 2004/05

- 1. The implications of the 2004/05 budget targets for Environment Services Directorate were considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Board on the 20th January, 2004. As a result of that consideration Members asked for a written response on two issues. The first related to the proposal to increase Cemetery and Crematorium fees and Members asked what the implication would be of an increase between 7.5% and 10% (as set out in Appendix 1 to report F/1/04 and ENV/1/04) on the cost of a cremation. Members are advised that the current fee for a cremation is £340 and a change in line with the proposals previously outlined would increase this sum by between £25 and £34.
- 2. The second issue mixed by the O verwiew and Scrutiny Board on 20th January, 2004 concerned possible inclusion of items from "Target 2" of report F/1/04 and ENV/1/04 if the Target 1 budgetwere to be increased and some items shown in Target 2 could be included. The item sthat it is proposed would be included in such circum stances are as follows:

Reinstatementofinflationalboation and Partialfunding of demographic growth
 103,200
 27,800

The above sums would go part way to meinstatement of general reductions across all services

- Torbay RetailCapacity Study
 A RetailStudy is needed (the last one having been completed in 1994) in order to inform planning decisions and support appropriate development. Both the draft Community Plan and the Council's own Strategic Plan identified appropriate jobs and industry as a priority and it is important that future decisions regarding developments are made with the best information that is available
- StreetWarden Scheme Extension
 The draft Community Plan has a major section on
 making Torbaya saferplace and the Council's own
 Strategic Plan reaffirms the Council's commitment to
 delivering on the Community Safety Strategy. The
 sum shown would enable two further wards to be
 covered by such a scheme (with two wardens in
 each ward)
- Reinstatem entof2003/04 majormeductions (horticultume and cleansing).

284,000

30,000

90,000

The appearance of Torbay is clearly in portant to both residents and visitors and a number of comments have been received from Viewpoint Questionnaires and general correspondence regarding the desire to in prove the appearance of the Bay. The draft Community Plan and the Council's own Strategic Plan also refer to these in portant areas.

Work is still taking place regarding the draft budget proposals for 2004/05 and the Executive Member for Environment Services will be pleased to receive any further comments that the Overview and Scrutiny Board may wish to make.

Colin Charlwood Executive M em berforEnvironm ent Services MichaelJYeo
Dimector of Environment

28th January, 2004

Torbay Council

SO C IA L SERV IC ES D IREC TO RA TE

2004/05 BUDG ET

Furtherchrification of the Overview and Scrutiny Board

1. Composition of difference between 2003/04 base and 2004/05 standstill budgetoff36.332

The table below gives written confirmation of the answer to Question 1 raised by the Overview and Scrutiny Board in the meeting on 21st January.

It was confirmed in the meeting that the Director of Finance made an emorin calculating Target1, which has not taken account of the transfer of £13 m illion of Children's Sewires funding from Specific Grants (and therefore not part of the base budget in 2003/04) to the base budget in 2004/05. This is the main reason for the shortfallagainst current comm imments on Target1.

Roll up of Specific Children's	£1.349
G mnts into the base budget	
Increments forstaff2004/5	£162
04/5 Inflation contingency (3%	£1.459
care hom es, fosterparent, staff)	
Corporate Restructure (additional	£50
postomitted from original Targets	
Ful-year effect of cument	£1,101
commitments (i.e. overspend on	
cases in 2003/4) on 2004/5, over&	
above base budget	
Adjustments to base, to reflect	£350
additional0.5% forpayaward.2%	
albwed in 2003/04 budget -	
actual increase 2.5%. This issue	
was common across al	
Directorates	
To ta :	£4,471

2. <u>Clarification of Statutory Duties</u>

Members have asked for further chriffication of how the Growth Item link with statutory responsibilities. In order to provide some chrity, the growth item shave been listed under the following headings:

a) Statutory requirem entspecifically hid down in law

- ♦ Independent Reviewing Officer to meet new legislative requirements specific requirement contained in Adoption Act 2000
- ♦ Occupational Therapist to inspect Premises Registered under Care Standards Act 2000
- ♦ Increased staffing at Dunboyne minimum staffing levels are setdown in the Care Standards Act 2000 and associated Guilance
- ♦ Loss of income from change in Government Funding Government financialassistance has ceased. This will become a cost to the Council.
- ♦ Services for young adults with complex needs based in Hollacom be Community Resource Centre these are known cases which fall within the highest priority band and the Councilhasa legal duty to provide the service under the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970, the Disabled Persons (Services, Consultation and Representation) Act 1986, the Carers (Recognition and Services) Act 1985 and the NHS and Community Care Act 1990.
- ♦ Allowance fordem ographic growth to cover the cost of new cases in 2004/2005. The Councilhas a legal duty to meet a sees seed need under the Acts of Parliament noted above. Failure to make adequate financial provision is not a legally defensive position and will therefore result in an overspend.
- ♦ Statutory inform ation security requirem ents. Failure to comply will result in the Council acting illegally. Governed by Data Protection Act 1998, added by statutory OrderNum bers 413, 414, 415, 417, 418 & 419.
- b) Resources required to meet the minimum statutory requirement
 - ♦ 2 additionalSocialWorkersforLooked Afterchildren to ensure allchildren have an albcated SocialWorker-Children Act 1989
 - ◆ Occupational Therapist to deal with high waiting lists for Children with Disabilities Children Act 1989 and Carers and Disabled Children Act 2000
 - ♦ Residential short breaks for Children with Disabilities assessed need not currently being met. Children Act 1989 and the Carers and Disabled Children Act 2000
 - ◆ 2 add tional staff for Supporting People reviews to meet ODPM requirements.

 This requirement is contained in the LocalGovernmentAct2000, section 93 and associated guidance
 - ◆ Tem porary Community Care Worker Required to meet target of the delivery of OT equipment in 7 days. This requirement is contained in statutory Guidance to Local Authorities which adds to the NHS & Community Care Act1990 and its related statutory Guidance.

- ♦ 3 Temporary Fieldworkers required to meet new national target of starting allassessments within 48 hours and providing allassessed services within 1 m onth.
 - This requirem entis contained in statutory Guidance to Local Authorities which adds to the NHS & Community Care Act 1990 and it's related statutory Guidance.
- ♦ 2 SocialWorkers, a Senior Practitioner and associated support for the Community Learning Disabilities Team. The duty to provide assessments in contained in Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970, the Disabled Persons (Services, Consultation and Representation) Act 1986, the Carers (Recognition and Services) Act 1985 and the NHS and Community Care Act 1990.
- ◆ Adaptation to the hundry at 0 ccom be House to meet National Care Standards requirements legal requirement under the National Care Standards Act 2000. However this will now be met from the Capital budget and is therefore no longer a growth item against the Revenue budget
- ◆ Tem porary Project time (2 years) to support the implementation of the new Social Services IT system and the Single Assessment Process. The single assessment process is a statutory requirement governed by IAC (2002)1 which adds to the NHS & Community Care Act 1990. Failure to implement a system to mange this process as well as an overall "fit for purpose" IT system will result in the Council being unable to meet its statutory duties. There will be further legislation covering information regarding Children's Services in the forthcoming Children's Bill
- ♦ Increased If provision to meetnew Care Management requirements as above
- c) Nota statutory requirem entperse but failure to implement will result in other statutory duties not being fulfilled
 - ♦ Increased fee levels for Care Home and Dominilary Care placements payment of fees comes under the NHS and Community Care Act 1998 and subsequent guidance. The level of fees is not set in law. The statutory duty of the Local Authority is to arrange appropriate care to meet assessed needs. Torbay Council will be unable to fulfil this duty if there are further home closures. Torbay had the bwest fees levels in the country in 2003/03
 - ◆ Transport Co-ordination Office-Failure to fund this will result in children with Disabilities not being transported to Respite care at the Robbins and people with Learning Disabilities having no transport to get them to their day services
 - This would lead to the Social Services Directorate being unable to discharge its statutory duty under the Children Act 1989 and the

Disabled Children Act to these client groups, the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970, the Disabled Persons (Services, Consultation

and Representation) Act 1986, the Carers (Recognition and Services) Act 1985 and the NHS and Community Care Act 1990.

It nest that this provides the charification which ${\tt M}$ embers are seeking.

Jain Wood

DirectorofSocialSewices

26th January 2004