# Torbay Local Plan- A landscape for success: The Plan for Torbay 2012-32 and beyond Schedule of representations –Name/Organisation Order (A-Z)

Consultee ID	File	Person / Organisation	
	No.		
845042	TI2	Anthony Garlick	Garlick
Consultee -830296	TI1	Julian Sanders	Sanders
Agent - 830294			

Recieved. 22 nd April 2012 D: 845042 Refstones

6.4. 2014 TOTBAY COUNCEDER Ington Lane
FLAMING Taz 6XB Taz 6XD pen Sir/modam. 10 S/Tx Proposed entemission plan. Tortaglocal on a plan that was not displayed publicly at Torbog library - you had to ask for it. This to scarcely open, democratic government. I object to the continued delineation of this property in any form of "nature" area—this had has no nature interest shatever. I object to to continued designation as a Congervation Aren' because it does not entrofy the criteria for CAS in the M fight and the I consider that this land and the site to the horth (adjoining 85 Nat Bush lane) should be have adjoining the development them. 1 object to the continued designation of this land as an AGL - H has none. of English usage in the plan and to lapose into menningles jurgon. Note of 6.1.2.1. the noe of tourism affer and value in line 5 - what "value". 6.1.2.20. Very confused. what do you men by I boul character! and enhancement. TAI (2) sorry but care age here to stag not the bag your hierarchy they bring visiting to the bag your hierarchy gustainability books silly. 6.3.3.12 Ant b à legible place. (These are only randowly prebet) yours fartfally MR. A. GARLICK.

It is the duty of planners to monitor.

I expect you to change your plans in the light of this information. yours fastifully MR. A. GARLICK.

the sound of the commences of stronger has

The second of the second of the second of THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF ASSESSMENT ASS

The state of the s 

Keastones 8. 11. 2014 Cockington Lane TORBAY COUNCIL Taz 6x0 PLANNING REC'D 11 NOV 2014 pen gir, TO AM I refer to your recent let a. I to not intent to comment on the irrelevant observations made in the bulk of your letter now on the person-tent of atrovising fore I find in the majority of commissions Insterd I than to your so called alleger natural from your council. In the previous plan the only grah interest of the gate is alleged to be the presence of gratement of the Mann, in his vecent public layoung statement went me better and stated that there burds were went me better and stated that there burds were present on the gate. present on the gute. g these hind anywhere new the set (2) If you check your habitat surveys you will observe that thus bird is found on grandband (1) unimproved ( hightisfy improved ) grandband (2) cerent stubble.

Nexter of these habitate i here. This bird does not frequent wordland which from a large part of the site. The RSPB Conclude the bird is validing to be found hare I have have seen me in 30 years, In addition the word of the last morehabely to the horth (also in your designation!) has vecently bulldoget his land completely destroying the habitat. Why then do you persot with your day nothing when the endead on the ground shows the to be styling. Part of the fot of planning in Surveying, part is monitoring. You have done neither in this case You rely instead in out of dates ne of touch past "information" that he no relevance today. The bowdard shown in your map have no basis in fact and should be removed. Please pass a copy of the letter to the reputa. gones fathfully MR. A. GARLICK



): Agent. 830294 (GYArchitet Consittee: 830296

### Comments

## Torbay Local Plan Proposed Submission Consultation February 2014 (24/02/14 to 07/04/14)

Comment by Mr Julian Sanders

Comment ID 19

**ORBAY** 

Response Date 06/04/14 21:58

Consultation Point Policy SS2 Future Growth Areas (View)

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

0.1 Version

Files

Question 1: Legal compliance, soundness and duty to co-operate

Do you consider that this policy/proposal of the Local Plan is legally & procedurally compliant, and/or sound and/or complies with the duty to co-operate? (Please note that the considerations in relation to the Local Plan being ?legally & procedurally compliant', 'sound' and 'complying with the duty to co-operate', are explained in the representation form guidance notes, as well as paragraph 182 of the National Planning Policy Framework).

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Legally compliant Yes

Sound Yes

Complies with the duty to co-operate Yes

Question 2a: Supporting the legal compliance, soundness, or duty to co-operate compliance (Yes)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a another chance to make further representations based on the original representation made at publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for consideration at the Local Plan Examination.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also set out your comments here.

Edginswell Hall: Torbay Local Plan Proposed Submission - policy SS1, SS2 We are writing on behalf of the owner of the Edginswell Hall, Julian Sanders to express our concerns about the proposed submission for the Torbay Local Plan. Edginswell Hall sits within the Edginswell Hamlet envelope in an area of Great Landscape Value. Policy BE11 of the adopted local plan Edginswell Hall is a Grade Il listed building, some parts of which date back to the 1600's, but extensively reconstructed around 1830 (IoE number 390595). It originally stood in extensive private gardens with adjoining orchards and walled garden to the rear. The house is currently being restored with funds from enabling development. which has been permitted within the grounds. The construction of the ring road. Hamelyn Way along the back of the site in the 1960's and the use of the area adjacent to the walled gardens for industrial storage had impacted on the setting of the Hall and the house had fallen into disrepair. The Hall was listed on Torbay's Buildings at Risk Register ref BAR 885-1-7-402. The owner of the Hall has been working closely with Torbay Council Planning and Conservation Officers since 2006 to find a viable way of restoring the Hall and bringing it back into use, through permitting enabling development in the grounds. Permission was finally granted for six houses in July 2011, four of which formed part of a Section 106 agreement with the Council, that required money from the sale of the housing plots to be spent on the restoration of the Hall. The four houses outside the walled garden were required to have minimum impact of the landscape and setting of the listed building. The approved design is set into the hillside and constructed from stone and timber. The housing plots have now been sold and the restoration of the Hall is currently under way and due for completion Autumn 2014. The owners spent five years working with the Council to obtain approval for a scheme that would provide an appropriate balance of development that was sensitive to the existing site, the historic building and its context. We are writing to express our concern that the Edginswell Hall is included within this Future Growth area without any recognition for the importance of the Listed building and its immediate setting. The Torbay Local Plan Proposed Submission, February 2014 identifies the site as being within the Torquay Strategic Delivery Area, policy SS2.1, it comes under the Edginswell area that is identified as a Future Growth Area for housing and related development. We would request that consideration was given under Policy HE1 and HE2 to afford some protection to Edginswell Hall by a) restricting development in the immediate vicinity of the Hall as indicated by the area shaded in grey on the attached plans and b) ensuring that the design and scale of any new development in the valley is sensitive to the overall setting of the listed building.

#### **Question 3. Modifications**

Note: Any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate cannot be dealt with by modification at examination.

Do you consider any modification(s) are necessary No to address your representation and make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound?

#### **Question 4: Oral Examination**

Attending the oral Examaination: **Please note** the independent Planning Inspector will give equal consideration to representations that are made in writing and to those that are presented orally.

If your answer is 'No' you will move on to Question 6

If your representation is seeking a modification, No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination?

Question 6: Next Stages Question

Information about the next stages of the Development Plan.

Do you want to be informed of the following:

Submission of the Local Plan to the Secretary of Yes State?

The publication of the Inspector?s Report of the Yes Examination?

The Adoption of the Torbay Local Plan by the Yes Council?

Uploading documents

Please upload any additional supporting documents here.

Setting of Edginswell Hall Setting of Edginswell Hall

Edginswell Hall: Torbay Local Plan Proposed Submission? policy SS1, SS2 We are writing on behalf of the owner of the Edginswell Hall, Julian Sanders to express our concerns about the proposed submission for the Torbay Local Plan. Edginswell Hall sits within the Edginswell Hamlet envelope in an area of Great Landscape Value. Policy BE11 of the adopted local plan Edginswell Hall is a Grade II listed building, some parts of which date back to the 1600?s, but extensively reconstructed around 1830 (IoE number 390595). It originally stood in extensive private gardens with adjoining orchards and walled garden to the rear. The house is currently being restored with funds from enabling development which has been permitted within the grounds. The construction of the ring road, Hamelyn Way along the back of the site in the 1960?s and the use of the area adjacent to the walled gardens for industrial storage had impacted on the setting of the Hall and the house had fallen into disrepair. The Hall was listed on Torbay?s Buildings at Risk Register ref BAR 885-1-7-402. The owner of the Hall has been working closely with Torbay Council Planning and Conservation Officers since 2006 to find a viable way of restoring the Hall and bringing it back into use, through permitting enabling development in the grounds. Permission was finally granted for six houses in July 2011, four of which formed part of a Section 106 agreement with the Council, that required money from the sale of the housing plots to be spent on the restoration of the Hall. The four houses outside the walled garden were required to have minimum impact of the landscape and setting of the listed building. The approved design is set into the hillside and constructed from stone and timber. The housing plots have now been sold and the restoration of the Hall is currently under way and due for completion Autumn 2014. The owners spend five years to obtain approval for a scheme that would provide an appropriate balance of development that was sensitive to the existing site, the historic building and its context. We are writing to express our concern that the Edginswell Hall is included within this Future Growth area without any recognition for the importance of the Listed building and its immediate setting. The Torbay Local Plan Proposed Submission. February 2014 identifies the site as being within the Torquay Strategic Delivery Area, policy SS1 and under policy SS2.1, it comes under the Edginswell area that is identified as a Future Growth Area for housing and related development. We would request that consideration was given under Policy HE1 and HE2 to afford some protection to Edginswell Hall by restricting development in the immediate vicinity of the Hall as indicated by the area shaded in grey on the attached plans and ensuring that the design and scale of any new development in the valley is sensitive to the overall setting of the listed building.



